
[Legislative Studies Section Newsletter](#)

Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

[Disertations](#)

Legislative Studies Section Newsletter is published at the Carl Albert Center, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, under the authority of the Legislative Studies Section of the American Political Science Association. The LSS and APSA are non-profit educational associations. The *Newsletter* is uploaded to this Web site twice yearly: in January and in July.

Membership in LSS includes a subscription to [Legislative Studies Quarterly](#).

Editor:

[Ronald M. Peters, Jr.](#)

Editor, "Extension of Remarks":

[Valerie Heitshusen](#)
Congressional Research Service

Co-Editor:

[Cindy Simon Rosenthal](#)

Managing Editor:

[LaDonna Sullivan](#)

Editorial Assistant:

[Laurie McReynolds](#)

Web Master:

[Robert Kelly, Jr.](#)

From the Chair

[Lawrence C. Dodd](#)
University of Florida

The LSS Newsletter this January presents the first volume of 'Extension of Remarks' prepared by its new editor, Valerie Heitshusen, which includes scholarly perspectives on the historic congressional events of this past fall and a look forward to the 111th Congress. My appreciation to Val for an excellent job in preparing her first EOR and to the authors for sharing their timely essays.

Let me also note that the Newsletter contains the list of LSS Award Committees and the members of those committees. Please submit all relevant material for the Richard F. Fenno Book Prize, the Alan Rosenthal Book/Article Award, the Carl Albert Best Dissertation Award, the CQ Best Paper Award and the Jewell-Loewenberg Best LSQ Article Award to the relevant committees by **March 1**. And my thanks to the members of these committees and to the LSS Nominations Committee for the hard work they will be doing over the next several months.

Finally, let me note that the Carl Albert Center will conduct a survey of all LSS members, at the request of the LSS Council, to gauge member sentiment with respect to ways to ensure the maintenance and growth of the LSS membership. Please take the time to participate in that survey, which we expect to conduct this March.

I look forward to seeing all of you in Toronto and to the excellent panels being prepared by Patrick Sellers, the LSS Program Chair for the upcoming APSA Convention.

| [Front Page](#) | | [Book Notes](#) | | [Journal Articles](#) | [Dissertations](#) |
| [Legislative News](#) | [Papers Presented](#) | [Research & Teaching](#) |

| [Other Editions of the LSS Newsletter](#) | | [Announcements](#) |
| [Legislative Studies Section Home Page](#) | [APSA Home Page](#) |



Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

Book Notes

- [*Absolute Power: How the Unitary Executive Theory is Undermining the Constitution*](#) by John P. MacKenzie
- [*The Age of Impeachment: American Constitutional Culture since 1960*](#) by David E. Kyvig
- [*Black Americans in Congress, 1870-2007*](#) by U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on House Administration
- [*Courts and Congress: America's Unwritten Constitution*](#) by William J. Quirk
- [*The Cure for Our Broken Political Process: How We Can Get Our Politicians to Resolve the Issues Tearing Our Country Apart*](#) by Sol Erdman and Lawrence Susskind
- [*Know Your Power: A Message to America's Daughters*](#) by Nancy Pelosi
- [*Madam Speaker: Nancy Pelosi's Life, Times, and Rise to Power*](#) by Marc Sandalow
- [*Party Polarization in Congress*](#) by Sean M. Theriault
- [*Reassessing the Incumbency Effect*](#) by Jeffrey M. Stonecash
- [*Representative Democracy: Legislators and Their Constituents*](#) by Michael L. Mezey
- [*The Supreme Court and Congress: Rival Interpretations*](#) by Louis Fisher
- [*Vital Statistics on Congress 2008*](#) edited by Norman Ornstein, Thomas E. Mann, Michael Malbin
- [*Why Not Parties? Party Effects in the United States Senate*](#) edited by Nathan W. Monroe, Jason M. Roberts, and David W. Rohde
- [*Woman of the House: The Rise of Nancy Pelosi*](#) by Vincent Bzedek

Absolute Power: How the Unitary Executive Theory is Undermining the Constitution, John P. MacKenzie, Brookings Institution Press, 2008, ISBN 9780870785115, \$14.95, paper, 104 pages.

In the latest report from The Century Foundation (founded in 1919, it “sponsors and supervises timely analyses of economic policy, foreign affairs, and domestic political issues”), John P. MacKenzie, writer, former *Washington Post* reporter, Supreme Court observer, *New York Times* editorialist, professor of law, and scholar at NYU School of Law, has written a lucid and concise account of the “unitary executive” theory of presidential power.

According to MacKenzie, “[t]he unitary executive theory of national government power holds that the president, given ‘the executive power’ under the Constitution, has virtually all of that power, unchecked by Congress or the courts, especially in critical realms of authority” (1). As the title indicates, MacKenzie is highly critical of such a view and the “unitarians” and “presidentialists” who support it (17). Although, he believes, the theory does contain a “a kernel of accuracy – that executive officials had their own duty to interpret and obey the Constitution” (20), “the theory, when examined, is historically baseless” (3) and an odd one to be extolled in “a nation that won a revolution against a monarchy and enacted a constitution that rejects a king” (2).

MacKenzie spends the next seventy pages defending his view, explaining the origination and development of the theory, and detailing its most prominent contentions in the Bush administration. He documents the rise of the theory over twenty years ago under Reagan Attorney General Edwin Meese III and those serving underneath him or in Congress sympathetic to the desire for the president to ascertain more power. He notes the first uses of the term in presidential proclamations and federal and Supreme Court cases and briefs as well as its more frequent defenses in law related works.

This is a succinct and engaging account filled with interesting details (could it really be the case that John Yoo’s defense of broad executive power comes from his birthplace’s [South Korea] relationship with communism? [37]). MacKenzie uses history nicely and draws upon the founding documents and figures that spoke most frequently about “energy in the executive”. It covers important Supreme Court cases such as *INS v. Chadha* (1983) and *Morrison v. Olson* (1988) as well as important and now well-known figures in the (broadly speaking) “conservative legal movement,” who were sympathetic or involved in some way with the unitary executive theory: Douglas Kmiec, Charles Fried, John Bolton, Dick Cheney, John Yoo, David Addington, Steven Calabresi, Samuel Alito, and John Roberts.

This work is ideal for the undergraduate classroom as discussion builds from the seemingly simply foundations of our constitutional system and straightforward language of Articles I and II to issues dealing with the role of “independent” agencies created by Congress, the administrative state, inter-branch relations, and war-powers. Congressional scholars will obviously want to analyze the implicit and explicit role of Congress within these pages and analyze why it appears that Congress as an institution has been either a naïve accomplice or passive enabler of such far-reaching executive claims. Some may want to incorporate “departmentalist” literature to help analyze the normative claims of founders, leaders, and scholars about proper inter-branch relations and congressional behavior more specifically. Many will be able to elucidate modern issues through the lenses of our history, tradition, and case law, and this work is ideal for comparison with other accessible material on particular issues. As Don Wolfensberger and Lou Fisher have both written (“Signing Statements: What To Do?” *The Forum* 4, no. 2 [2006]), for example, in relation to signing statements, maybe “[t]he proof is in the pudding, not in its labeling” (Wolfensberger, *Roll Call*, August 14, 2006).

MacKenzie writes with an overt normative tone. For example, he states that “[w]ith the encouragement of Federalist Society allies, a rightward-veering Court has not been shy about asserting *itself* – *disturbingly* in commerce clause cases, *alarmingly* in decisions restricting legislative enforcement of Fourteenth Amendment values, and *notoriously* in *Bush v Gore*, halting the recount of the 2000 presidential election and the operation of the regular constitutional machinery for electing the president” (emphasis added, 58). Some of his rhetoric may be too absolutist, given that even he sometimes admits the issues and boundaries are not always delineated with precision.

Absolute Power addresses a timely topic, particularly as we collectively seek to continually assess where we have been these past eight years and as we anticipate and observe what former constitutional law lecturer and now President-Elect Obama and a Democratically-controlled Congress will choose to do with such controversial, executive-strengthening, precedents.

Matthew Field
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

The Age of Impeachment: American Constitutional Culture since 1960, David E. Kyvig, University Press of Kansas, 2008, ISBN 978070015810, \$34.95, cloth, 482 pages.

In the *Age of Impeachment: American Constitutional Culture since 1960*, David E. Kyvig (Distinguished Research Professor, Northern Illinois University) has written an impressively researched account of the political, cultural, and constitutional contexts surrounding impeachment in the United States over the last forty years. Blending all of the essential elements of the historian's trade, including first-hand interviews, congressional debate records, published and unpublished personal papers, and even analyses of political cartoons, Kyvig has provided in rich detail the definitive story of impeachments since 1960.

More than a simple synthetic account, however, Kyvig's book also seeks to use impeachment as a lens through which to characterize larger issues of political and constitutional development in the United States. Before 1960 there were only thirteen impeachments (or proceedings); between 1960 and 2008, however, there were almost as many as there had been in the entire history of United States. As the book's subtitle indicates, impeachment is a significant cause of our particularly caustic constitutional culture since the 1960s. Moreover, it has become more than just a reflection of constitutional and political culture, as Kyvig argues that it has had the ironic effect of increasing executive secrecy and power as presidents during the "Age of Impeachment" have learned to hide actions that tend to augment executive power as a whole.

The book begins with a useful overview and account of the understanding and use of impeachment in England and the United States before the twentieth century. Of particular note here is Kyvig's suggestion that the development of parties as effective mechanisms of political order and control served to decrease the felt need for impeachment in the nineteenth century, effectively rendering it a dead letter until middle of the twentieth century. The book then proceeds to document the historical and political contexts of post-1960 impeachments. Beginning with the failed – but ultimately prescient – campaign against Chief Justice Earl Warren, impeachment as a tool for political change began to appear again in American legal and political culture. The use of impeachment against sitting federal judges is perhaps one of its ideal uses, as it would serve to remove the "unremovable" – unelected and life-tenured judges. However, as Kyvig demonstrates in subsequent chapters on Abe Fortas and William O. Douglas, impeachment was slowly developing into something much more. The caustic political environment of the late 1960s and early 1970s both shaped, and was shaped by, the increasing use of impeachment as a simple political tool to punish and destroy political enemies. Not to be undone by impeachment's initial resurrection by the emerging political right, however, was its use by the Democratic Party and the American left, and the chapters detailing Nixon's impeachment and resignation, as well as the travails of Spiro Agnew, paint a rich and detailed picture of impeachment's ascendance. As a consequence, then, the institutionalization of the special prosecutor and the American public's educational crash-course in the impeachment act itself, become more salient after 1973.

Aside from Kyvig's rich account of the impeachment of federal judges, which occupy the next few chapters, the account of Reagan's ability to thwart impeachment is noteworthy, especially when we consider similar calls against President George W. Bush. Because presidents have operated within the culture of impeachment since the 1960s, they have also learned how to fend it off. The increasing secrecy of the executive branch is both a result of increased impeachment talk and a cause of the increase in executive power in the twentieth century.

There are, however, two potentially troubling features of the book that limit its extrapolation beyond a wonderfully rich detail of actual impeachments since 1960. First, Kyvig seems to suggest that the American public, as well as political elites, has been affected by increased impeachment. Without detailed proof, however, this suggestion is merely speculative. Aside from a nice account of the *Washington Post* cartoonist Herbert L. Block's caricatures of impeachment politics, it is debatable whether the average American was thinking about impeachment as much as the average congressman was. Second, while it is clear that American politics has been characterized by polarization and divisiveness since the 1960s – and that impeachment as such is an important part of that story – it is not clear that American politics is any less caustic or nasty than at any other time in American history, especially during the 171 years before 1960 when impeachment was, according to Kyvig, a dead letter. In other words, impeachment might be part of a series of other causes – like race, economics, and the rise of cultural politics – that have shaped constitutional culture since the 1960s. Nevertheless, Kyvig's account of impeachment is an impressive contribution to the political and constitutional history of the second half of the twentieth century.

Justin J. Wert
Assistant Professor of Political Science
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Black Americans in Congress, 1870-2007, U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on House Administration, U.S. Government Printing Office, 2008, ISBN 9780160801945, \$54.00, paperback, 803 pages w/illustrations.

This beautifully prepared volume provides a plethora of information about African-Americans who have served in the Congress. Part I provides four chronologically organized chapters under the heading "Former Black Members of Congress." Each chapter provides a lengthy biographical sketch of the members who served during the period addressed, along with a narrative historical account of the era and tables of information about the Congress during that time. Part II provides similar information about current African-American members. There are 10 appendixes providing tabular information of a variety of sorts about the service of Black members, including such things as a summary list, service on committees and in party leadership posts, familial connections, and so forth. The entire volume is 803 large folio pages in length and there are many illustrations. The book should be part of every library and research collection, and congressional scholars may well wish to obtain it for their personal libraries.

Ron Peters
Regents' Professor of Political Science
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Courts and Congress: America's Unwritten Constitution, William J. Quirk, Transaction Publishers, 2008, 9781412807739, \$49.95, cloth, 330 pages.

William J. Quirk, professor of law at the University of South Carolina School of Law, is less than satisfied with constitutionalism as currently practiced in this country. According to Quirk, we now have a "Happy Convention," which is a "new, unwritten constitution." It is "an informal rearrangement of government powers by which each of the three branches assigns many of its constitutional responsibilities to other branches. Congress, the supposed legislative leader in our republic, passes off controversial domestic issues to the Supreme Court and controversial foreign policy issues, like declaring and financing war, to the president. The 'Happy Convention' is not designed to provide effective government. Instead, its purpose is to get congressman re-elected" (2).

After this introduction, Quirk moves immediately into issues involving the "culture wars" and the "war on terror." He then returns to his operational phrase and over the next three chapters explains what the "Happy Convention" is, how it arose, and what it is like today. Next, he focuses on the nomination hearings for the two most recent Supreme Court justices, returns to history for a discussion of the founding and antebellum eras, and concludes with thoughts on amending the Constitution. At each step, Quirk critiques the "Imperial Presidency" and the "Imperial Judiciary" for overstepping their prerogatives while excoriating Congress for abandoning its rightful responsibilities and, instead, inordinately focusing upon its spending power, which he believes protects member incumbency.

Quirk is passionate about his subject. He writes that "[p]reaching Christianity to a grizzly [a Lincolnian phrase] is pointless and preaching Lincoln, Jefferson, and Jackson to our legal establishment seems equally pointless" (188); "'Happy Convention' elections emphasize emotional rather than substantive issues" (59); "[w]e have created a supreme, irresistible, absolute, uncontrollable authority shared by an Imperial President and an Imperial Court" (210); and refers to a "ruling class" (210) that conspires to enforce the "Happy Convention": protect incumbency in the Congress, power in the President, and authority in the Court through buck-passing by the Congress and subsequent acquiescence to the president and the Supreme Court.

This is an intriguing and thought-provoking work (with a foreword by Ralph Nader and thanks given by the author to Linda Greenhouse *and* Pat Buchanan, how could it not be?). It is ideal for discussion in the undergraduate classroom as it nicely incorporates a large amount of political history, constitutional law, and contemporary congressional debates, hearings, floor exchanges, and votes. It has a long and useful collection of historical appendixes and may also make for a useful juxtaposition with Laurence Tribe's recently published and similarly titled *The Invisible Constitution* (Oxford University Press, 2008).

Quirk's work would also be useful to discuss with other recent literature in political science and public law, such as J. Mitchell Pickerill's *Constitutional Deliberation in Congress: The Impact of Judicial Review in a Separated System* (Duke University Press, 2004), which argues the threat of judicial review by the Court actually induces constitutional deliberation in Congress; Neal Devins and Keith E. Whittington's (eds.) *Congress and the Constitution* (Duke University Press, 2005), a collection of essays which demonstrate the many different facets that Congress in its actual workings as an institution is engaged with the Constitution; and, of course, the work of Louis Fisher, who has spent an impressive career documenting and discoursing over Congress' constitutional and legislative roles (His recent book, *The Supreme Court and Congress: Rival Interpretations*, is reviewed [here](#)).

Courts and Congress is an interesting and highly readable work that will introduce students of Congress, the Court, and the Presidency to many of the most important debates surrounding constitutionalism, inter-branch relations, constitutional interpretation, the scope of congressional behavior, and most importantly, the relationship between "We the People," our Constitution, and our government(s).

Matthew Field
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

The Cure for Our Broken Political Process: How We Can Get Our Politicians to Resolve the Issues Tearing Our Country Apart, Sol Erdman and Lawrence Susskind, Potomac Books, 2008, ISBN 9781597972697, \$19.95, cloth, 210 pages.

In this book Erdman and Susskind make a compelling argument as to how to increase the accountability of members of the House of Representatives. This book is broken up into three sections. The first is a discussion of why politicians cannot reach a compromise and a way to make such resolutions possible. The second section begins a fictitious tale, primarily of dialogue, of an individual elected to the House and his desire to institute change to the current political system and the forces working against him. The third section continues along these lines but contains a plan for implementing a political system that citizens will agree upon and that will force politicians to be accountable to the people.

The authors begin with a discussion of representatives' unwillingness or inability to compromise on select issues. In describing a situation in which two parents disagree on where their child should attend college, they illustrate that the most amenable outcome is what they refer to as the midpoint of the frontier. According to the authors, this point indicates a compromise that is the fairest to both sides given the zero-sum game of agreement. Politicians struggle with finding agreeable solutions when they want policy that will be most advantageous to their camp. The authors indicate that even in writing the Constitution, delegates had to appease interests other than their own for progress to be made on those issues more critical to their own state. Thus, Erdman and Susskind believe that if politicians had the best interest of the country and its citizens in mind, they would be able to negotiate lasting agreements.

In order to illustrate these points, the authors use fictional characters within the current United States governing system. They describe a highly-regarded local individual who is elected to the House and ultimately has an agenda of what he would like to accomplish, but faces roadblocks in understanding the way the current governing system works. Through the help of the members of congressional office, this newly-elected representative begins to understand that taking a firm stance on an issue may cause him trouble in gaining reelection, despite the nature of the issue.

Thus begins a dialogue between characters, which is present through nearly the remainder of the book, of how to reform Congress such that members are accountable and issues receive adequate attention. Ultimately, the authors, by way of these fictional characters, propose what they refer to as "personally accountable representation" in which all candidates compete for seats in a combined election. This type of election uses a preferential ballot in which voters indicate their first, second, etc. choices for the seat. In order to determine the winners, those with the fewest number of first choice votes are eliminated and thus those voters who indicated this individual as their first choice have their votes reclassified for those they indicated as their second choice. This process continues until all seats are filled and those elected receive voting power proportional to the number of votes they received. Once representatives have been determined, voters are mailed information about election winners and must indicate who, of the elected representatives, they would like to be in the constituency of. Thus, voters choose their own representative and these representatives are ideally more accountable to the people than in the current system.

Erdman and Susskind argue that such a system would increase the competition for seats therefore forcing politicians to come up with results, meaning he/she must reach an agreement with his/her opponents. The fictional characters of this book acknowledge that such a system cannot be proven efficient and effective until it is actually instituted, but that the current system has proven to be highly incapable such that this alternative appears much more logical. Accordingly, Erdman and Susskind are of the belief that such a system as "personal accountable representation" will aid our country in creating a Congress filled with members who are accountable to the citizenry.

Caitlin O'Grady
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Party Polarization in Congress, Sean M. Theriault, Cambridge University Press, 2008, ISBN 978-0-521-88893-6, cloth, \$80.00, 243 pages.

The current relationship between Democratic and Republican party elites in Congress has been a topic of much concern in American political discussion. Many political commentators and legislators have suggested that the legislative system has become so rife with partisanship, political bickering, and increasing division between the two parties that it is nearly impossible to accomplish key legislative goals. This is a far cry from the type of parties in Congress described by George Wallace that did not even have “a dime’s worth of difference” between them. However, what has caused political parties in Congress to become so divided on many of the important political issues of our time? In *Party Polarization in Congress*, Sean Theriault excellently presents and tests some of the main explanations for why polarization has occurred recently in Congress.

Theriault begins his discussion of polarization by using a variety of measures based off of roll call votes to point out how parties have become increasingly divided and polarized in the past 32 years (chapter 2). Next, Theriault describes some of the main factors that could be causing the polarization trend, including redistricting (drawing the lines of legislative districts to make congressional seats safer and more partisan), constituent sorting (individuals choosing to live around people who share similar political views), extremism of party activists (legislators having to focus on meeting the needs of increasingly extreme primary voters), and institutional changes (rules changes within Congress increasing the power of party leaders to enforce party discipline among their members) (chapter 3). Theriault argues that each of these explanations, on their own, cannot explain the entire polarization phenomenon, and proposes a more complex model of polarization that takes into account each of the factors described above. For instance, Theriault notes how the variables that make constituents more extreme (i.e. redistricting and constituent sorting) will also have an indirect impact on polarization by bringing to office more polarized legislators who will enact rules to encourage more partisan behavior from fellow party members (55).

Next, Theriault sets out to test each of the explanations and finds convincing support for each, as ingredients in explaining the polarization puzzle. First, Theriault finds that states that purposefully redistrict along partisan lines produce districts with more extreme legislators (chapter 4). Second, Theriault discovers that both districts and states have become more divergent with respect to each other and more homogeneous in terms of constituent characteristics, and that these more homogeneous states and districts have elected more extreme legislators (chapter 5). Third, Theriault reveals that the increasingly extreme ideologies of partisan activists who served as delegates on national nominating conventions has had a statistically significant impact on the roll call voting scores of both senators and representatives (chapter 6). Fourth, Theriault finds that the more extreme legislators that have been brought to Congress by the constituent mechanisms described above have given more power to party leaders, who have used this power to enforce party support on procedural votes that will give party leaders more control over the legislative process (chapter 7). Furthermore, the increasing partisanship and frequency of these procedural votes have accounted for almost all of the growth in polarization in the last 32 years (chapter 8). Finally, Theriault links polarization in the House to polarization in the Senate, which has experienced similar trends in polarization without exposure to the same variables (i.e. redistricting) that the House has, by describing how Newt Gingrich-led activities, including the Conservative Opportunity Society, have increased the extremism of members of his party even as some of them made their way to the Senate (chapter 9).

Party Polarization in Congress is an excellent addition to the literature on how parties within Congress have changed over time. As Theriault helpfully demonstrates, it is not necessary to think of each of the explanations of polarization as competing with one another. In fact, as Theriault does here, it is likely more helpful to include each of the explanations into one coherent model that gives researchers more insight into how the polarization process in Congress has occurred. In, quite literally, writing the book on the causes of polarization in Congress, Theriault may have put to rest the significant debates that have occurred in the political science literature, as of late, on the causes of polarization. Now, with the causes laid out, future researchers can, and indeed should, concentrate their efforts on what the effects of this polarization are for congressional policy making and whether these polarization trends should be considered helpful or detrimental to society.

Walt Jatkowski III
Carl Albert Center Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Reassessing the Incumbency Effect, Jeffrey M. Stonecash, Cambridge University Press, 2008, ISBN 9780521515511, \$75.00, cloth, 169 pages.

Since the early 1960s, the advantages incumbents have had over their challengers have been magnified due to the dramatic increase of incumbents' victories and earned vote percentages. This had led to the development of the incumbency literature. While this literature had been dominated by scholars trying to figure out what has led to the incumbency advantage, Jeffrey M. Stonecash's book, *Reassessing the Incumbency Effect*, takes a different look at the incumbency effect than previous studies. While past works have focused more on the aspects that encompass the incumbency effect like more media attention and larger fundraising bases, Stonecash looks at the incumbency effect to see if the situation of incumbents has improved and how the incumbency effect can affect democracy.

Stonecash breaks the book up into three sections. Part I begins with four main arguments for why critics believe that the incumbency effect is bad for democracy. These arguments center around a lack of focus on issues and how a greater increase in the incumbency effect will negate the current public sentiment in regards to congressional elections. However, Stonecash counters this argument with excerpts from texts on American politics that consistently tout the incumbency advantage as a mainstay in the current political system. The author is clearly bothered by such rhetoric because it ignores the option of scaling back certain advantages held by incumbents while promoting a non-challenger system. Instead of arguing for limiting advantages held by incumbents, Stonecash uses these arguments to transition into an analysis of three indicators that could illustrate whether or not the situation of incumbents has improved.

These three indicators take into account the length of the careers of each incumbent and whether or not their service was interrupted. Stonecash also does not include any member who is still in the House for it would be impossible to know how their careers would end. While the rhetoric surrounding the incumbency effect would indicate that most House careers are ended voluntarily as opposed to in defeat, Stonecash finds that 59.7 House careers ended voluntarily while 40.3 ended in defeat (34). Therefore, it is not surprising that the author does not find any evidence in part I to support the idea that the incumbency effect is increasing.

Part II illustrates alternative explanations for an increase in the incumbency effect since the evidence in part I did not support the conclusion that there has been an increase in the incumbency effect. These alternative explanations center around realignment and the fortunes of some incumbents. Stonecash finds that incumbents of each party were affected differently by realignment. Republicans tended to benefit more than the Democrats. In fact, today, Republican incumbents still have experienced more gain in recent decades than the Democrats. However, it would be interesting to see what Stonecash would find if he included the 2008 election results in his analysis. While it may not be dramatic, he would probably find the vote percentages of Republican incumbents to decrease and Democrat incumbents to increase.

Finally, part III of the book includes a more detailed analysis of incumbency effect indicators. Within part III, Stonecash takes a closer look at the Gelman-King approach as their results are quite different from the author's. He finds that the major changes that affect their estimation of the incumbency effect were due to what was occurring in open-seat races and not what was happening with incumbents. Therefore, his results can stand apart from the Gelman-King approach and other previous research since his data does not include open seat races.

Overall, Jeffrey Stonecash's *Reassessing the Incumbency Effect* is excellent. It does not take the incumbency effect for granted. Stonecash questions previous research and sets out to find out if the incumbency effect is as great as it has been proposed to be. He opens reader's eyes to the truths about incumbency and provides readers with an insight into their own influence and power as voters in future congressional elections. This is a must read for anyone interested in incumbency or congressional elections in general for it demystifies the phenomenon that is the incumbency effect.

Barri Bulla
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Representative Democracy: Legislators and Their Constituents, Michael L. Mezey, Rowman and Littlefield Publishers, 2008, ISBN 9780742547704, \$34.95, paper, 237 pages.

I rarely find books written specifically for classroom use to be of much interest to me as a teacher or scholar. Most textbooks are bland syntheses of familiar information, formatted to appeal to today's students and their notoriously short attention spans. Thus, I am happy to report that Michael L. Mezey's *Representative Democracy* is clearly an exception to the rule, and deserves to be read widely by all who are interested in the nature of representative democracy in America and elsewhere.

Above all, *Representative Democracy* investigates the complex relationship between representatives and their constituents within the American context. Which interests are most likely to be represented? Do representatives primarily listen to narrow, moneyed interests, or do broader interests wield significant influence? How much assistance do representatives provide to individual constituents, and what are the consequences of casework and earmarks? How much do the citizenry's views (or lack thereof) shape the decisions representatives make about public policy? In analyzing these questions, though, Mezey wisely compares the American experience with those found elsewhere, and demonstrates that there is more to representation than merely responding to simple incentive structures.

For example, American scholars have long maintained that congressional representatives perform casework and seek earmarks because such activities enhance their reelection chances. However, as Mezey points out, representatives abroad routinely provide services for individuals even when their party controls their electoral fate or when they are barred from seeking immediate reelection. In their circumstances, Mezey contends, constituency assistance only aids their interests indirectly, either by enhancing the electoral appeal of their party or by demonstrating their commitment to party service. Moreover, legislators everywhere view helping individual constituents to be part of what it means to be representatives — a perspective that may occasionally foster unrealistic expectations among the populace. In Chile, for instance, lawmakers are inundated with requests for personal services and favors but have lacked the capacity to respond to these demands since the political reforms of the 1990s.

In any case, Mezey's decision to incorporate a comparative perspective into this study enhances its value enormously. The boundary between the American and comparative politics subfields has always been artificial, and this book should be particularly enlightening to undergraduates (and even some scholars) who remain mired in a parochial approach to American politics. Also welcome is Mezey's discussion of how representation in the United States has changed over time. Though brief, his history of representation raises a number of interesting questions. For example, as states and congressional districts have seen their populations become larger and more heterogeneous, it has become "difficult to think of them as having a community of interest over a broad range of issues" (141). Indeed, since today's representative may no longer be able to speak for a set of viewpoints associated with a particular geographical area, she may just choose to represent those interests most likely to advance her reelection, even if they are narrow. So, why retain geography as the basis of representation?

A further strength of *Representative Democracy* lies in its frequent references to the normative debates swirling around the idea of representative democracy and its operation. Along with the questions included in the Appendix, these passages raise controversies that can readily serve as starting points for class discussion. In that way, this book avoids one of the greatest weaknesses of the standard textbook: a failure to effectively grapple with provocative questions.

Of course, one could easily find flaws in this study. It does not advance novel theoretical insights or produce fresh empirical data, and never aspired to. Moreover, not every reader will agree with Mezey's assumptions or conclusions. For instance, the author idealizes the professional politician, who presumably uses his time in office to acquire the expertise and long-range vision required to make good public policy, rather than to make dubious contacts and learn how to game the system. Similarly, Mezey's critique of the filibuster and other legislative rules ignores the possibility that institutional culture may shape the character of legislative processes far more than the existence of formal procedures. After all, when Senate norms like deference were robust, the use of the filibuster was relatively rare.

Still, even the scholar who quibbles with Mezey's contentions can appreciate what he has accomplished in *Representative Democracy*. In an increasingly specialized and often fragmented discipline, Mezey has produced a broadly-informed study that speaks to all interested in representative democracy. Furthermore, it is an accessible and appropriate text for teaching undergraduates, especially for courses on Congress, comparative legislatures, or democratic governance.

Ann-Marie Szymanski
Associate Professor of Political Science
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

The Supreme Court and Congress: Rival Interpretations, Louis Fisher, CQ Press, 2008, ISBN 9780872895249, \$115.00, cloth, 321 pages.

The Supreme Court and Congress: Rival Interpretations is an ideal classroom tool and reference source. It should be used widely especially by those teaching who are more historically, legally, and normatively minded in the areas of Congress, the Supreme Court, constitutional “dialogues”, and congressional history.

The Supreme Court and Congress is the first volume in a Court-focused series (The Supreme Court’s Power in American Politics) which, according to CQ Press, “takes an institutional approach to studying the Court’s role in American politics” and will “examine the Court’s impact on different groups, agencies, and public policies as well as on public philosophies about the appropriate dimensions of judicial power.” More precisely, “this first volume . . . begins our exploration into the pronouncements of the most powerful constitutional court in the world and their incorporation in the political processes of governance” in order to “develop an appreciation of the ongoing dialogue among judicial and nonjudicial forces, with neither side having the ‘final word’ until a policy emerges that is politically stable” (xiv-xv).

Fisher, senior scholar in the Law Library at the Library of Congress and author of more than a dozen books, has done a nice job compiling these Court pronouncements and congressional debates. This work is less encompassing than some of Fisher’s other works like *Constitutional Conflicts Between Congress and the President*, *Presidential War Power*, and his (with David Gray Adler) two volume *Constitutional Law* work (*Constitutional Structures* and *Constitutional Rights*), in which much of the material assembled here is provided at greater length and exposition.

As is well known, Fisher is a vigorous defender of the first branch of government and a firm non-believer in judicial supremacy (he succinctly writes: “one finds scant support for judicial supremacy in the intent of the framers, the structure and purpose of the Constitution, early rulings of the Court, or the practices and precedents of the national government over the past two centuries.” [xv]). Some readers may be less legislatively minded when it comes to espousing constitutional values. Nevertheless, one will come away from this volume thoroughly informed about the changing relationship between Congress and the Court. As Melvin I. Urofsky states in his forward, “[t]o look at Court decisions as simply doctrinal statements is to ignore the dynamic of governance” (xiv), a dynamic that sometimes includes, as in the post-Civil War era, Congress taking a “far more rights-protective position than . . . the justices” (xiv).

Seeking to explain how the Court operates in relation to legislative action and how the Court has defined congressional power, the book is organized around five main chapters. Each contains a brief discussion of the issues outlined below followed by 20-40 pages of primary documents, which, confined to their most pertinent portions, are of quite suitable length for classroom discussion. They capture the heart of the argument and refrain from including excessive text. While not an exhaustive list of the intra-chapter topics, The Formative Years (1789-1856) covers judicial review, implied powers, appointments, the removal power, investigations, treaty power, war power, “sole organ” issues in external affairs, the bank of the United States, and the dormant Commerce Clause. The Civil War and Its Aftermath covers slavery, *Dred Scott*, Lincoln as president, habeas corpus, commander-in-chief powers, civil rights statutes, and women’s rights. Regulating the Economy covers the commerce power, the greenback issue, income taxes, liberty of contract, child labor, and FDR’s Court-Packing Plan. Individual Rights covers religious freedom, flag salutes, school prayer, the Yarmulke Case, Indian religious practices, equal accommodations, interracial marriages, women’s rights, the rights of pregnant women, and women in combat. Structural Disputes covers Bill of Attainder issues, the Statement and Account Clause, unvouchered funds, covert funding, the CIA’s Budget, legislative vetoes, one-House and two-House controls, war powers, and military tribunals. Two excellent appendixes detail amendments to the U.S. Constitution overturning Supreme Court decisions and Supreme Court decisions overruled by subsequent Court decisions (1789-2007).

Far from being a passive and subservient bystander, Congress has often been the home of robust constitutional debate about important issues. Furthermore, the interactions between Congress and the Court have historically been anything but static or one-sided. We have Louis Fisher to thank for making these facts accessible once again.

Matt Field
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Vital Statistics on Congress 2008, edited by Norman Ornstein, Thomas E. Mann, Michael Malbin, Brookings Institution Press, 2008, ISBN 9780815766650, \$26.95, paper, 192 pages.

As its title implies, Norman J. Ornstein, Thomas E. Mann, and Michael J. Malbin's book, *Vital Statistics on Congress 2008*, is a vital tool to anybody interested in the United States Congress. Whether you are a congressional scholar, a journalist, or even a congressional aide, this book will be very useful since it is overflowing with interesting details on Congress. It includes some of the most visible and widely demanded statistics on campaign finance to the harder to find statistics on congressional staff and operating expenses.

In its introduction, the authors detail many changes that have occurred within Congress and on Capitol Hill between the 82nd Congress in 1951, the 94th Congress in 1975, and the 110th Congress in 2007. They do so by imagining what Rip Van Winkle would have thought of Congress if he awoke in each respective Congress listed above. The authors believe that he would have seen an abundance of change each time he woke up. One interesting change the authors believe that Van Winkle would have seen when he awoke in the 110th Congress is more members spending less time in Washington due to the growth of home office staffing. They believe that this led to the number of meetings in the 109th Congress to be 2,492 down from an average of 4,793 in the 1980s and 1990s (18). Since this volume only includes statistics through the first session of the 110th Congress, it will be interesting to see what the authors say about this statistic in the next volume due to Speaker Nancy Pelosi's promise to get more work done in the House.

Like past editions, this book is comprehensive in its detail, but it lacks completeness. If someone were to seek a "vital statistic on Congress" beginning with the 1st Congress and ending with the 110th, they would not find it in this book. While Congresses may not have adequate data for such a presentation, the more complete each statistic is, the more useful it may be to congressional scholars or anyone else interested in congressional or institutional change.

Nevertheless, Ornstein, Mann, and Malbin's 2008 volume is a tremendous asset to anyone interested in Congress. Not only is it comprehensive, it is an incredibly handy source. It will be interesting to see what the authors add to their next volume in order to top the present book.

Barri Bulla
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Why Not Parties? Party Effects in the United States Senate, edited by Nathan W. Monroe, Jason M. Roberts, and David W. Rohde, The University of Chicago Press, 2008, ISBN 9780226534893, \$24.00, paper, 282 pages.

For decades congressional scholars have taken hits for ignoring the Senate to examine the larger (more observations) House of Representatives. This is especially true in studies of parties and partisanship, primarily due to the majoritarian rules of the House allowing for party to play a more visible and significant role as opposed to the individualistic institutional norms, and the super-majoritarian rules of the Senate. *Why Not Parties? Party Effects in the United States Senate* provides an array of work aiming to fill this void from a powerful list of established and up-and-coming scholars of the United States Congress.

In three parts, this edited volume not only explores the role of parties in the modern Senate, but also provides an advanced understanding of the inner workings of the chamber. Divided into three parts, the volume explores the role of parties as they relate to the entire gauntlet of Senate policy making. Part I provides three entries (chapters 2-4) exploring the link between party and constituents in three areas: the electoral effects of party loyalty in legislative voting behavior, variation in constituent and party interests across policy issues, and the influence of interest groups on party line voting.

Part II (chapters 5-9) explores the often over looked areas of institutional process and procedure. Chapter 5 examines the leadership system, specifically the workings of the Senate whip process. Chapters 6 and 7 continue by examining the disciplinary options available to Senate party leaders (6) and the expanding role of party in the committee system (7). Chapters 8 and 9 conclude the process and procedure theme by exploring obstructionism and agenda setting in terms of the open amendment process and the filibuster, respectively.

Finally, part III (chapters 10-12) completes the circle by considering the influence of party on senatorial policy outcomes from a procedural and distributive perspective. Continuing from Part II, chapters 10 and 11 explore partisan tools of negative agenda control, focusing on the role and use of the filibuster in policy making. Chapter 12 turns to pork-barrel politics and completes the policy-making circle by examining the role of party in earmark distribution and finding that universal benefits permeate Senate appropriations, although the majority may see a dollar-for-dollar edge in some instances.

In its sum, this book makes a needed contribution to congressional scholarship, providing notice that the increasing role of party in the U.S. Congress is not unique to the House of Representatives, as many inside and outside the beltway often assume.

Why Not Parties? can fill the gamut of academic uses, due to its structure and detail. This volume would work well for a high level undergraduate Congress course, as well as fit nicely into the Senate section of a graduate seminar. From a demonstrative perspective, the creative and ambitious nature of the individual authors provides a how-to manual for aspiring congressional scholars. As handy reference for the current role of party contemporary Senate policymaking, from start to finish and back again, this edited volume should have a place on the book shelf of most congressional scholars.

William Curtis Ellis
Carl Albert Graduate Fellow
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

Woman of the House: The Rise of Nancy Pelosi, Vincent Bzedek, Palgrave Macmillan, 2008, 9780230603196, \$13.95, cloth, 272 pages.

Know Your Power: A Message to America's Daughters, Nancy Pelosi, Doubleday, 2008, ISBN 9780385525869, \$23.95, cloth, 292 pages.

Madam Speaker: Nancy Pelosi's Life, Times, and Rise to Power, Marc Sandalow, Modern Times, 2008, ISBN 9781594868078, \$25.95, cloth, 344 pages.

A few U.S. House Speakers have written autobiographies, and several have been the subject of biographical treatments. None, however, has both written and been written about in the first term as Speaker. Not, that is, until Nancy Pelosi came along. In addition to the books described here, Pelosi was also written up in several juvenile biographies – as far as I know, another first.

No doubt this biographical interest derives from Pelosi's status as the first woman to become Speaker. Hers was to be a historic speakership before it even commenced, and anticipating this, she and her biographers moved quickly to bring narratives to market.

Pelosi's own memoir offers lessons from her experience in life and in politics in a "message to America's daughters." It does not offer itself as a reflective autobiography drawing on the lessons and reporting the narratives of her speakership. Instead, it reports selectively on her personal life and political career to establish that she understands the nature and uses of power. She offers herself as a model for women who might aspire to political leadership.

The other two biographies offer more depth than does Pelosi, although they too show marks of the haste that a rush to market would necessarily require. Bzedek, who appears to have had no prior relationship to Pelosi, relied on an extensive set of interviews with Pelosi confidants, academics, and other observers. Sandalow, who covered Pelosi for years as a reporter for the *San Francisco Chronicle*, is able to draw upon his reportorial archive to build a much more detailed account of Pelosi's rise to power. Bzedek recites mostly what people told him about Pelosi; Sandalow reports mostly on what he actually observed as Pelosi built her political career. Interestingly, Pelosi offered Bzedek an interview while denying Sandalow an audience (although he had often interviewed her in years past).

Neither of these two books can offer a definitive account of a speakership that has yet to fully unfold. Both offer interesting insights into Pelosi's character and background, and anecdotes aplenty to color their accounts. They aim at a lay audience who will be most interested in Pelosi as a public figure, rather than set her leadership in the context of the speakership itself or congressional leadership more generally. Still, they help us understand Pelosi and provide useful grist for more systematic analysis.

Ron Peters
Regents' Professor of Political Science
University of Oklahoma

[Return to Book List](#)

• [Back to Front Page](#)

• [Back to LSS Page](#)



Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

Dissertations Completed

This section is meant to provide LSS members with the basic citation information about recently completed dissertations dealing with legislatures. The source for this information is Proquest database, using the query: KW = congress OR parliament OR legislative. The report is arranged in alphabetical order by author name.

First Name	Last Name	Title of Dissertation
Michael John	Berry	Beyond Chadha: The modern legislative veto as macropolitical conflict.
James Irvine	Cairns	From Social Celebration to Politics as Usual: Newspaper coverage of the Legislative Opening in Ontario, 1900-2007.
Lynne Marie	Gibson	Motivations for change in support for social policy bills in the United States Congress; 1972-2002.
Justin Thane	Gollob	Beyond the district: Extra-district representation in state legislatures
Shelley Conroy	Hirse Korn	Interest groups and institutional change: Health policy and the House reforms of the 104th Congress.
Gyung-Ho	Jeong	Three essays on domestic sources of credible commitment: Interests, legislators, and bureaucrats
Jason S.	Kassel	Constructing a professional legislature: The physical development of Congress, 1783-1851.
Joyce J.	Kiplagat	Women's legislative role in global women-friendly health policies: An analysis of gender governance in Kenya.
Daniel C.	Lewis	Majority Rule: Direct democracy and minority rights
Donald	Mirjanian	Direct democracy and state legislatures: Does professionalism matter?
Jamie Pamela	Pimlott	This isn't your mom's Tupperware party: How EMILY's List changed the American political landscape.
John S.	Risley	Legislative program evaluation conducted by state legislatures in the United States.
Jim	Ronan	A resolution without resolve: How the War Powers Resolution has failed to limit the powers of the presidency.
Randall D.	Smith	Capturing the evasive President: Disaggregating Senate-executive interactions in foreign affairs.
Rajen	Subramanian	Developing and testing a theory of legislative party fragmentation.

APSA Legislative Studies Section Newsletter

Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

Journal Articles

This section is meant to provide LSS members with the basic citation information about recent journal articles dealing with legislatures. The source for this information is Cambridge Scientific Abstracts' database, Worldwide Political Science Abstracts, using the query: KW= congress OR parliament OR legislative. The report is arranged in alphabetical order by journal name.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>Acta Politica</i> , vol. 43, no. 2-3 (July 2008): 278-307.	Krouwel, Andre; Lucardie, Paul.	Waiting in the Wings: New Parties in the Netherlands.
<i>Africa Insight</i> , vol. 37, no. 4, (March 2008): 76-97.	Adar, Korwa G.	Fast Tracking East African Political Federation: The Role and Limitations of the East African Legislative Assembly.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 2 (April 2008), 219-234.	Hanley, Ryan Patrick.	Enlightened Nation Building: The 'Science of the Legislator' in Adam Smith and Rousseau.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 2 (April 2008): 235-251.	Lapinski, John S.	Policy Substance and Performance in American Lawmaking, 1877-1994.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 2 (April 2008): 252-267.	Matzman, Forrest; Shipan, Charles R.	Change, Continuity, and the Evolution of the Law.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 2 (April 2008): 322-343.	Wright, Joseph.	Do Authoritarian Institutions Constrain? How Legislatures Affect Economic Growth and Investment.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 2 (April 2008): 373-394.	Gimpel, James G.; Lee, Frances E.; Pearson-Merkowitz, Shanna.	The Check Is in the Mail: Interdistrict Funding Flows in Congressional Elections.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 3 (July 2008): 471-489.	Primo, David M; Binder, Sarah A.; Maltzman, Forrest.	Who Consents? Competing Pivots in Federal Judicial Selection.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 3 (July 2008): 520-535.	Maestas, Cherie D; Rugeley, Cynthia R.	Assessing the 'Experience Bonus' Through Examining Strategic Entry, Candidate Quality, and Campaign Receipts in U.S. House Elections.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 3 (July 2008): 636-655.	Patty, John, W.	Equilibrium Party Government.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 821-839	Farhang, Sean	Public Regulation and Private Lawsuits in the American Separation of Powers System
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 891-909.	Frechette, Guillaume R.; Maniquet, Francois; Morelli, Massimo.	Incumbents' Interests and Gender Quotas.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 52, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 910-925.	Heller, William B.; Mershon, Carol.	Dealing in Discipline: Party Switching and Legislative Voting in the Italian Chamber of Deputies, 1988-2000.
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 53, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 858-873.	Hogan, Robert E.	Policy Responsiveness and Incumbent Reelection in State Legislatures.
<i>American Politics Research</i> , vol. 36, no. 1 (January 2008): 62-84.	Born, Richard,	Party Polarization and the Rise of Partisan Voting in U.S. House Elections.
<i>American Politics Research</i> , vol. 36, no. 1 (January 2008): 130-152.	Rocca, Michael S.; Sanchez, Gabriel R.	The Effect of Race and Ethnicity on Bill Sponsorship and Cosponsorship in Congress.
<i>American Politics Research</i> , vol. 36, no. 3 (May 2008): 358-381.	Frederick, Brian.	Constituency Population and Representation in the U.S. House.
<i>American Politics Research</i> , vol. 36, no. 3 (May 2008): 451-474.	Taylor, Andrew J.	Strategic Intercomeral Behavior and the Sequence of Congressional Lawmaking.
<i>American Politics Research</i> , vol. 36, no. 5 (Sept. 2008): 639-668.	Meinke, Scott R.	Who Whips? Party Government and the House Extended Whip Networks
<i>AQ – Journal of Contemporary Analysis</i> , vol. 80, no. 1 (January-February 2008):	Warhurst, John.	Catholics in Federal Parliament Politics, Perception and Self-image.
<i>Asian Affairs: An American Review</i> , vol. 35, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 3-13.	Kwong, Julia.	Democracy in China: Voting for Beijing People's Congress Delegates.
<i>Asian Perspective</i> , vol. 32, no. 2 (2008): 145-172.	Wang, Vincent Wei-cheng.	The Impact of Taiwan's 2008 Elections on Cross-Strait Relations: A Game-Theoretical Analysis.
<i>British Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 38, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 657-675	Andrews, Josephine T.; Jackman, Robert W.	If Winning Isn't Everything, Why Do They Keep Score? Consequences of Electoral Performance for Party Leaders
<i>British Journal of Political Science</i> , vol. 38, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 719-738.	McGhee, Eric.	National Tides and Local Results in US House Elections.
<i>Canadian Journal of Political Science/Revue canadienne de science politique</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (March 2008): 1-18.	Squire, Peverill.	The State Wealth-Legislative Compensation Effect.
<i>Canadian Political Science Review</i> , vol. 2, no. 3 (Sept. 2008): 103-124.	Small, Tamara A.	Blogging the Hill: Garth Turner and the Canadian Parliamentary Blogosphere.
<i>Commonwealth</i> , vol. 36, no. 3 (July 2008): 365-387.	Pond, David.	Legislative Oversight of Political Patronage in Canada.
<i>Comparative Political Studies</i> , vol. 41, no. 3 (March 2008): 228-361.	Tripp, Aili Mari, Kang, Alice.	The Global Impact of Quotas.
<i>Comparative Political Studies</i> , vol. 41, no. 8 (Aug. 2008): 1141-1164.	Uggla, Fredrik.	Incompetence, Alienation, or Calculation?: Explaining Levels of Invalid Ballots and Extra-Parliamentary Votes.
<i>Congress & the Presidency</i> , vol. 35, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 29-51.	Cox, Daniel G.; Duffin, Diane L.	Cold War, Public Opinion, and Foreign Policy Spending Decisions: Dynamic Representation by Congress and the President.
<i>Congress & the Presidency</i> , vol. 35, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 53-70.	Baker, Anne.	Are Self-Financed House Members Free Agents?
<i>Congress & the Presidency</i> , vol. 35, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 71-86.	Witko, Christopher; Friedman, Sally.	Business Backgrounds and Congressional Behavior.
<i>Congress & the Presidency</i> , vol. 35, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 87-103.	Born, Richard.	National Forces and the U.S. House Vote, 1980-2004: The Uncertain Progress of Nationalization.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>Espacio abierto</i> , vol. 17, no. 1 (January-March 2008): 53-72.	Contreras, Francisco Ganga; Saez, Cristina.	Leadership Styles Used by the Honorable National Congress Deputies in Chile.
<i>European Union Politics</i> , vol. 9, no. 3 (March 2008): 145-165.	Konig, Thomas.	Analysing the Process of EU Legislative Decision-Making: To Make a Long Story Short
<i>Europe-Asia Studies</i> , vol. 60, no. 6, (Aug. 2008): 959-987.	Remington, Thomas.	Patronage and the Party of Power: President-Parliament Relations Under Vladimir Putin.
<i>Foreign Affairs</i> , vol. 87, no. 3 (2008).	Weissman, Stephen R.	Congress at War.
<i>Foreign Affairs</i> , vol. 87, no. 3 (2008).	Fisher, Louis; Hendrickson, Ryan C.	Congress at War.
<i>Foreign Policy Analysis</i> , vol. 4, no. 2 (April 2008): 187-206.	Meernik, James; Oldmixon, Elizabeth.	The President, the Senate, and the Costs of Internationalism.
<i>Foreign Policy Analysis</i> , vol. 4, no. 4 (Oct. 2008): 349-370	Brulevid, J.	Congress, Presidential Approval, and U.S. Dispute Initiation
<i>French Politics</i> , vol. 6, no. 2 (July 2008): 153-165.	Murray, Rainbow.	How a High Proportion of Candidates Becomes a Low Proportion of Deputies: A New Model to Forecast Women's Electoral Performance in French Legislative Elections.
<i>Global Society</i> , vol. 22, no. 3 (July 2008): 319-336.	Diehl, Paul F.; Ku, Charlotte.	Colouring within the Lines: How the International Legal Operating System Influences Rule Creation.
<i>Harvard Journal on Legislation</i> , vol. 45, no. 1 (Winter 2008): 219-235.	Fisher, Louis.	Congressional Access To National Security Information.
<i>Harvard Journal on Legislation</i> , vol. 45, no. 2 (Summer 2008): 377-419).	Rangel, Charles B.	Moving, Forward: A New, Bipartisan Trade Policy That Reflects American Values.
<i>Harvard Journal on Legislation</i> , vol. 45, no. 2 (Summer 2008): 507-580.	Tucker, James Thomas.	The Battle Over 'Bilingual Ballot' Shifts to The Courts: A Post-Boerne Assessment of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act.
<i>India Review</i> , vol. 7, no. 2 (April-June 2008): 91-114.	Wallack, Jessica S.	India's Parliament as a Representative Institution.
<i>Intelligence and National Security</i> , vol. 23, no. 1 (February 2008): 61-80.	Taylor, Stan A.	The depiction of Congressional oversight in spy film and fiction: Is Congress the new meddler?
<i>Intelligence and National Security</i> , vol. 23, no. 2 (April 2008): 198-225.	Johnson, Loch K.	The Church Committee Investigation of 1975 and the Evolution of Modern Intelligence Accountability.
<i>International Journal of Public Administration</i> , vol. 31, no. 5 (April 2008): 574-591.	Demir, Tansu.	Is Political Public Administration a Threat to Legislative Supremacy?
<i>International Journal of public Administration</i> , vol. 31, no. 6 (May 2008):654-667.	Wang, XiaoHu	Convincing Legislators with Performance Measures.
<i>International Political Science Review/Revue Internationale de Science Politique</i> , vol. 29, no. 3 (June 2008): 303-324.	Ciftci, Sabri; Forrest, Walter; Tekin, Yusuf.	Committee Assignments in a Nascent Party System: The Case of the Turkish Grand National Assembly.
<i>Journal of Contemporary China</i> , vol. 17, no. 54 (February 2008): 141-165.	Xie, Tao.	Congress and China Policy: an analysis of China bills.
<i>Journal of Democracy</i> , vol. 19, no. 2 (April 2008): 124-137.	Barkan, Joel D.	Legislatures on the Rise?
<i>Journal of European Public Policy</i> , vol. 15, no. 2 (March 2008): 246-262.	Maurer, Andreas; Parkes, Roderick; Wagner, Markus.	Explaining Group Membership in the European Parliament: the British Conservatives and the Movement for European Reform.
<i>Journal of Palestine Studies</i> , vol. 37, no. 3 (2008) 173-188.	Wood, Brian.	Congressional Monitor.
<i>Journal of Pragmatics</i> , vol. 40, no. 5 (May 2008): 960-978.	Dupret, Baudouin; Ferrie, Jean-Noel.	Legislating at the Shopfloor Level: Background Knowledge and Relevant Context of Parliamentary Debates.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>Journal of Southern African Studies</i> , vol. 34, no. 2 (June 2008): 429-449.	Darracq, Vincent.	Being a 'Movement of the People' and a Governing Party: Study of the African National Congress Mass Character.
<i>Journal of Theoretical Politics</i> , vol. 20, no. 1 (January 2008): 5-29.	Wiseman, Alan E; Wright, John R.	The Legislative Median and Partisan Policy.
<i>Journal of Theoretical Politics</i> , vol. 20, no. 1 (January 2008): 31-46.	Heckelman, Jac C.; Yates, Andrew J.	Senate Elections With Independent Candidates.
<i>Journal of Theoretical Politics</i> , vol. 20, no. 1 (January 2008): 67-92.	Bawn, Kathleen; Koger, Gregory.	Effort, Intensity and Position Taking.
<i>Journal of Theoretical Politics</i> , vol. 20, no. 2, (April 2008): 201-220.	Beckmann, Matthew N.; McGann, Anthony J.	Navigating the Legislative Divide: Polarization, Presidents, and Policymaking in the United States.
<i>Latin American Politics and Society</i> , vol. 50, no 2 (Summer 2008): 101-129.	Ferraro, Agustin.	Friends in High Places: Congressional Influence on the Bureaucracy in Chile.
<i>Latin American Politics and Society</i> , vol. 50, no 2 (Summer 2008): 131-160.	Marsteintredet, Leiv.	Executive-Legislative Deadlocks in the Dominican Republic.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 1 (February 2008): 131-160.	Hirano, Shigeo.	Third Parties, Elections, and Roll-Call Votes: The Populist Party and the Late Nineteenth-Century U.S. Congress.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 1 (February 2008): 5-33.	Pereira, Carlos; Power, Timothy J.; Renno, Lucio R.	Agenda Power, Executive Decree Authority, and the Mixed Results of Reform in the Brazilian Congress.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 1 (February 2008): 35-61.	Finocchiaro, Charles J.; Rohde, David W.	War for the Floor: Partisan Theory and Agenda Control in the U.S. House of Representatives.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 1 (February 2008): 63-84.	Den Hartog, Chris; Monroe, Nathan W.	The Value of Majority Status: The Effect of Jeffords's Switch on Asset Prices of Republican and Democratic Firms.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 1 (February 2008): 85-112.	Adler, E. Scott; Wilkerson, John D.	Intended Consequences: Jurisdictional Reform and Issue Control in the U.S. House of Representatives.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 2 (May 2008): 171-198.	Cox, Gary W.; Heller, William B.; McCubbins, Mathew D.	Agenda Power in the Italian Chamber of Deputies, 1988-2000.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 2 (May 2008): 199-222.	Lee, Frances E.	Agreeing to Disagree: Agenda Content and Senate Partisanship, 1981-2004.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 2 (May 2008): 223-244.	Brunell, Thomas L.; Anderson, Christopher J.; Cremona, Rachel K.	Descriptive Representation, District Demography, and Attitudes toward Congress Among African Americans.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 2 (May 2008): 245-261.	Highton, Benjamin	Job Approval and Senate Election Outcomes In the United States.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 2 (May 2008): 263-294.	Finocchiaro, Charles J.; Jenkins, Jeffery A.	In Search of Killer Amendments in the Modern U.S. House.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 2 (May 2008): 295-321.	Kriner, Douglas; Schwartz, Liam.	Divided Government and Congressional Investigations.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 3 (Aug, 2008): 357-385.	Fowler, Linda L.: Law, R. Brian.	Seen but Not Heard: Committee Visibility and Institutional Change in the senate National Security Committees, 1947-2006.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 3 (Aug. 2008): 387-414.	Malhotra, Neil.	Disentangling the Relationship between Legislative Professionalism and Government Spending.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 3 (Aug 2008): 415-444.	Bagashka, Tanya.	Invisible Politics: Institutional Incentives and Legislative Alignments in the Russian Duma, 1996-99.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 3 (Aug. 2008): 445-469.	Fogarty, Brian J.	The Strategy of the Story: Media Monitoring Legislative Activity.
<i>Legislative Studies Quarterly</i> , vol. 33, no. 3 (Aug. 2008): 471-494.	Habel, Philip D.	The Consequences of Electoral Institutions for Careerism.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>Mexican Studies</i> , vol. 24, no. 1 (2008): 113-145.	Dashez, Jordi.	Legislative Oversight of the Armed Forces in Mexico.
<i>New Media & Society</i> , vol. 10, no. 1 (February 2008): 115-138.	Blevins, Jeffrey Layne; Anton, Fernando.	Muted voices in the legislative process: the role of scholarships in US Congressional efforts to protect children from internet pornography.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (January 2008): 160-184.	Laible, Janet.	The Scottish Parliament and its Capacity for Redistributive Policy: The Case of Land Reform.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (January 2008): 52-72.	Pond, David.	Legislative Control of Cabinet Appointments to the Public Service: A Canadian Case-Study in the Political Limits to Parliamentary Reform.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (January 2008): 73-98.	Coghill, Ken; Holland, Peter; Donohue, Ross; Rozzoli, Kevin; Grant, Genevieve.	Professional Development Programmes for Members of Parliament.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (January 2008): 122-143.	Arter, David.	From 'Parliamentary Control' to 'Accountable Government'? The Role of Public Committee Hearing in the Swedish Riksdag.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 2 (April 2008): 237-254.	Devlin, Claire; Elgie, Robert.	The Effect of Increased Women's Representation in Parliament: The Case of Rwanda.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 2 (April 2008): 356-369.	Wu, Chung-Li.	Government and Information: Scientific Research and Policy Agenda in Congress.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 3 (July 2008): 442-460.	Zetterberg, Par	The Downside of Gender Quotas? Institutional Constraints on Women in Mexican State Legislatures.
<i>Parliamentary Affairs</i> , vol. 61, no. 3 (July 2008): 461-475.	Ayata, Ayse Gunes; Tutuncu, Fatma	Critical Acts without a Critical Mass: The Substantive Representation of Women in the Turkish Parliament.
<i>Party Politics</i> , vol. 14, no. 1 (January 2008): 91-112.	Slomczynski, Kazimierz M.; Shabad, Goldie; Zielinski, Jakub.	Fluid Party Systems, Electoral Rules and Accountability of Legislators in Emerging Democracies.
<i>Party Politics</i> , vol. 14, no. 2 (March 2008): 167-187.	Blau, Adrian.	The Effective Number of Parties and Four Scales: Votes, Seats, Legislative Power and Cabinet Power.
<i>Party Politics</i> , vol. 14, no. 3 (May 2008): 299-323.	Chambers, Paul	Factions, Parties and the Durability of Parliaments, Coalitions and Cabinets: The Case of Thailand (1979-2001).
<i>Party Politics</i> , vol. 14, no. 5 (Sept. 2008): 555-574,	Kunicova, Jana; Remington, Thomas Frederick.	Mandates, Parties and Dissent: Effect of Electoral Rules on Parliamentary Party Cohesion in the Russian State Duma, 1994-2003.
<i>Party Politics</i> , vol. 14, no. 5 (Sept. 2008): 620-639.	Field, Bonnie N.; Siavelis, Peter M.	Candidate Selection Procedures in Transitional Polities: A Research Note.
<i>Peace Review</i> , vol. 20, no. 1 (January-March 2008): 129-137.	Higgins, Hayley.	Peace Profile: Sylvia Pankhurst.
<i>Political Analysis</i> , vol. 16, no. 2 (Spring 2008): 197-212.	Chiou, Fang-Yi; Rothenberg, Lawrence S.	Comparing Legislators and Legislatures: The Dynamics of Legislative Gridlock Reconsidered.
<i>Political Analysis</i> , vol. 16, no. 2 (Spring 2008): 226-233.	Chiou, Fang-Yi; Rothenberg, Lawrence S.	The Search for Comparability: Response to Binder.
<i>Political Analysis</i> , vol. 16, no. 3 (Summer 2008): 235-249.	Lauderdale, Benjamin E.	Pass the Pork: Measuring Legislator Shares in Congress.
<i>Political Analysis</i> , vol. 16, no. 3 (Summer 2008): 274-289.	Cann, Damon M.	Modeling Committee Chair Selection in the U.S. House of Representatives.
<i>Political Analysis</i> , vol. 16, no. 3 (Summer 2008): 303-323.	Grant, J. Tobin; Kelly, Nathan J.	Legislative Productivity of the U.S. Congress, 1789-2004.
<i>Political Communication</i> , vol. 25, no. 2 (April 2008):158-179.	Ridout, Travis N.; Franz, Michael.	Evaluating Measures of Campaign Tone.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (March 2008): 25-28.	Carroll, Susan J.	Commentary on Emmy E. Werner's 1968 Article, 'Women in the State Legislatures.'
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (March 2008): 29-31.	Welch, Susan.	Commentary on 'Recruitment of Women to Public Office: A Discriminant Analysis,' 1978.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (March 2008): 32-35.	Magleby, David B.	Commentary on Welch's Early and Important Work Separating Out the Effects of Constituency and Campaign Contributions on Congressional Roll-Call Votes.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (March 2008): 36-38.	Matland, Richard E.	The Importance of Being First.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (March 2008): 39-42.	Leloup, Lance T.	Reflections on Carol F. Goss's 1972 Article, 'Military Committee Membership and Defense-related Benefits in the House of Representatives.'
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 1 (March 2008): 43-45.	Thomas, Sue; Welch, Susan.	Commentary on the 1991 Thomas and Welch Article 'The Impact of Gender on Activities and Priorities of State Legislators.'
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no.2 (June 2008): 309-318.	Sprague, Mary.	The Effects of Measurement and Methods Decisions on Committee Preference Outlier Results.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 2 (June 2008): 333-344.	Roch, Christine H.; Howard, Robert M.	State Policy Innovation in Perspective: Courts, Legislatures, and Education Finance Reform.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 3 (Sept. 2008): 458-467.	Hamm, Keith E.; Hogan, Robert E.	Campaign Finance Laws and Candidacy Decisions in State Legislative Elections.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 3 (Sept. 2008): 479-483.	Druckman, James N.	Dynamic Approaches to Studying Parliamentary Coalitions.
<i>Political Research Quarterly</i> , vol. 61, no. 3 (Sept. 2008): 502-516.	Martin, Lanny W.; Vanberg, Georg.	Coalition Government and Political Communication.
<i>Political Science and Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 2 (April 2008): 329-335.	Frederick, Brian.	The People's Perspective on the Size of the People's House.
<i>Politics & Policy</i> , vol. 36, no. 3 (June 2008): 376-399.	Wink, Kenneth A.; Barga, Andrew	The Consolidation of the White Southern Congressional Vote: The Roles of Ideology and Party Identification.
<i>Politics & Policy</i> , vol. 36, no. 3 (June 2008): 474-498.	Pelizzo, Riccardo.	The Cartel Party and the Italian Case.
<i>Polity</i> , vol. 40, no. 2 (April 2008): 221-228.	Canon, David T.	The Representational Consequences of a Random National Constituency.
<i>Polity</i> , vol. 40, no. 3 (July 2008): 340-347.	Novak, William J.	A State Of Legislatures.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 43-47,	Harris, Douglas B.	Leadership Choice and Party Performance: The Democratic Takeover of the 100th Congress – Editor's Introduction.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 49-55.	Harris, Douglas B; Nelson, Garrison.	Middlemen No More? Emergent Patterns in Congressional Leadership Selection.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 57-62.	Rosenthal, Cindy Simon; Peters, Ronald M., Jr.	Who Is Nancy Pelosi?
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 63-67.	Green, Matthew N.	The 2006 Race for Democratic Majority Leader; Money, Policy, and Personal Loyalty.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 69-75.	Frisch, Scott A.; Kelly, Sean Q.	Leading the Senate in the 110th Congress.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 77-82.	Becker, Lawrence A.; Moscardelli, Vincent G.	Congressional Leadership on the Front Lines: Committee Chairs, Electoral Security, and Ideology.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 83-87.	Roof, Tracy.	Can the Democrats Deliver for the Base? Partisanship, Group Politics, and the Case of Organized Labor in the 110th Congress.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 89-93.	Sinclair, Barbara.	Leading the New Majorities

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 139-145.	Kastellec, Jonathan P.; Gelman, Andrew; Chandler, Jamie P.	Predicting and Dissecting the Seats-Votes Curve in the 2006 U.S. House Election.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 1 (January 2008): 244-245.	Konduri, Prasad.	Congressional Fellowship: A Voyage through Politics and Policies in the United States.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 2 (2008): 418.	Anonymous.	In Profile: Chieko Kitagawa Otsuru.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 2 (April 2008): 422-426.	Heaney, Michael T.	Blogging Congress: Technological Change and the Politics of the Congressional Press Galleries.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 3 (July 2008): 557-565.	Martin, Shane	Two Houses: Legislative Studies and the Atlantic Divide.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 3 (July 2008): 666-669.	Reinhard, Gina Yannitell.	An 'I' on Congress: The Process and Products of Congressional Investigations.
<i>PS: Political Science & Politics</i> , vol. 41, no. 3 (July 2008): 670-672.	Rose, Roger P.	The APSA Congressional Fellowship: Value for Faculty from Teaching Colleges and Universities.
<i>Public Administration Review</i> , vol. 68, no. 2 (2008): 253-265.	Bourdeaux, Caroly; Chikoto, Grace.	Legislative Influences on Performance Management Reform.
<i>Public Administration Review</i> , vol. 68, no. 2 (2008): 366-379.	Hall, Thad.	Steering Agencies with Short-Term Authorizations.
<i>Public Budgeting & Finance</i> , vol. 28, no. 2 (Summer 2008): 1-19.	Frisco, Velda; Stalebrink, Odd J.	Congressional Use of the Program Assessment Rating Tool.
<i>Public Choice</i> , vol. 134, no. 3-4 (March 2008): 201-229.	Lagona, Francesco; Padovano, Fabio.	The political legislation cycle.
<i>Public Choice</i> , vol. 135, no. 3-4 (June 2008): 91-107.	Arce, Hugh M.; Koopman, Robert B.; Tsigas, Marinos.	Using State-level Simulations in a Political Economy Model of US Trade Policy.
<i>Public Choice</i> , vol. 135, no. 3-4 (June 2008): 415-448.	Winer, Stanley L.; Tofias, Michael W.; Grofman, Bernard; Aldrich, John H.	Trending Economic Factors and the Structure of Congress in the Growth of Government, 1930-2002.
<i>Public Choice</i> , vol. 136, no. 1-2 (July 2008): 69-86.	McKay, Amy.	A Simple Way of Estimating Interest Group Ideology.
<i>Public Choice</i> , vol. 136, no. 1-2 (July 2008): 165-180.	Semenov, Aggey P.	Bargaining in the Appointment Process, Constrained Delegation and the Political Weight of the Senate.
<i>Public Choice</i> , vol. 136, no. 3-4 (Sept. 2008): 353-377.	Schwartz, Thomas.	Parliamentary Procedure: Principal Forms and Political Effects.
<i>Publius</i> , vol. 38, no. 1 (Winter 2008): 137-151.	Stonecash, Jeffrey M.; Boscarino, Jessica E.; Kersh, Rogan T.	Congressional Intrusion to Specify State Voting Dates for National Offices.
<i>Publius</i> , Vol. 38, no. 3 (Summer 2008): 515-537.	Palazzolo, Daniel; Moscardelli, Vincent G.; Patrick, Meredith; Rubin, Doug.	Election Reform after HAVA: Voter Verification in Congress and the States.
<i>Publius</i> , vol. 38, no. 3 (Summer 2008): 556-575.	Sharp, Elaine B.; Haider-Markel, Donald.	At the Invitation of the Court: Eminent Domain Reform in State Legislatures in the Wake of the Kelo Decision.
<i>SAIS Review</i> , vol. 28, no. 1 (Winter-Spring 2008): 93-108.	Johnson, Loch K.	Ostriches, Cheerleaders, Skeptics, and Guardians: Role Selection by Congressional Intelligence Overseers.
<i>South European Society & Politics</i> , vol. 13, no. 1 (March 2008): 1-10.	Giuliani, Marco.	Brand New, Somewhat New of Rather Old? The Italian Legislative Process in an Age of Alternation.
<i>South European Society & Politics</i> : vol. 13, no. 1 (March 2008): 11-34.	Zucchini, Francesco.	Dividing Parliament? Italian Bicameralism in the Legislative Process (1987-2006).
<i>South European Society & Politics</i> , vol. 13, no. 1 (March 2008): 35-59.	Capano, Giliberto; Vignati, Rinaldo.	Casting Light on the Black Hole of the Amendatory Process in Italy.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>South European Society & Politics</i> , vol. 13, no. 1 (March 2008): 61-85.	Giuliani, Marco.	Patterns of Consensual Law-making in the Italian Parliament.
<i>South European Society & Politics</i> , vol. 13, no. 1 (March 2008): 87-110.	De Giorgi, Elisabetta; Verzichelli, Luca.	Still a Difficult Budgetary Process? The Government, the Legislature and the Finance Bill.
<i>South European Society & Politics</i> , vol. 13, no. 1 (March 2008): 111-115.	Zucchini, Francesco.	An Afterword – But Not the Last Word.
<i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> , vol. 8, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 32-47.	Powell, Richard J.	Minority Party Gains Under State Legislative Term Limits.
<i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> , vol. 8, no. 3 (Fall 2008): 239-262	Jenkins, Shannon	Party Influence on Roll Call Voting: A View from the U.S. States
<i>Studies in American Political Development</i> , vol. 22, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 111-140.	Jenkins, Jeffery A.; Nokken, Timothy P.	Legislative Shirking in the Pre-Twentieth Amendment Era: Presidential Influence, Party Power, and Lame-Duck Sessions of Congress, 1877-1933.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 6-19.	Helms, Ludger.	Styding, Parliamentary Opposition in Old and New Democracies: Issues and Perspectives.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 20-45.	Kaiser, Andre.	Parliamentary Opposition in Westminster Democracies: Britain, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 46-76.	Christiansen, Flemming Jull; Damgaard, Erik	Parliamentary Opposition under Minority Parliamentarism: Scandinavia.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 113-132.	Inoguchi, Takashi.	Parliamentary Opposition under (Post-) One-Party Rule: Japan.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 133-159.	Kopecky, Petr; Spirova, Maria.	Parliamentary Opposition in Post-Communist Democracies: Power of the Powerless.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 160-189.	Morgenstern, Scott; Negri, Juan Javier; Perez-Linan, Anibal.	Parliamentary Opposition in Non-Parliamentary Regimes: Latin America.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 190-211.	Schrire, Robert A.	Parliamentary Opposition after Apartheid: South Africa
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 212-235.	Helms, Ludger.	Parliamentary Opposition and its Alternatives in a Transnational Regime: The European Union in Perspective.
<i>The Journal of Legislative Studies</i> , vol. 14, no. 1-2 (March 2008): 236-250.	Norton, Philip.	Making Sense of Opposition.
<i>The Political Quarterly</i> , vol. 79, no. 2 (April-June 2008): 212-220.	Allen, Nicholas; Dean, Jonathan.	No (Parliamentary) Gender Gap Please, We're British.
<i>The Political Quarterly</i> , vol. 79, no. 2 (April-June 2008): 241-251.	Clark, Alistair; Bennie, Lynn.	Electoral Reform and Party Adaptation: The Introduction of the Single Transferable Vote in Scotland.
<i>The Political Quarterly</i> , vol. 79, no. 2 (April-June 2008): 260-268.	Kelly, Richard.	It's Only Made Things Worse: A Critique of Electoral Reform in Britain.
<i>West European Politics</i> , vol. 31, no. 3 (May 2008): 534-557.	Kraft-Kasack, Christiane.	Transnational Parliamentary Assemblies: A Remedy for the Democratic Deficit of International Governance?
<i>West European Politics</i> , vol. 31, no. 3 (May 2008): 581-599.	Raunio, Tapio; Wiberg, Matti.	The Eduskunta and the Parliamentarisation of Finnish Politics: Formally Stronger, Politically Still Weak?
<i>Zeitschrift fur Parlamentsfragen</i> , vol. 39, no. 1 (2008): 18-29.	Lovens, Sebastian.	The German Bundestag between Electing and Delegating its Vice Presidents the Bisky Case.
<i>Zeitschrift fur Parlamentsfragen</i> , vol. 39, no. 1 (2008): 30-35.	Hermisdorf, Fred.	Composition of Committees within Parliament: A Comparison of Apportionment Methods.

Journal	Author	Title of Article
<i>Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen</i> , vol. 39, no. 1 (2008): 35-41.	Feldkamp, Michael F.	The 'Mutton Jump' and Parliamentary Symbolism in the Reichstag Building of the German Empire. Addenda to Kai Zahle's Article in ZParl Issue 2/2007.
<i>Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen</i> , vol. 39, no. 1 (2008): 61-69.	Winkelmann, Helmut.	The Parliamentary Privilege of the Members of the German Federal Convention ('Bundesversammlung').
<i>Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen</i> , vol. 39, no. 1 (2008): 84-93.	Tausendpfund, Markus; Braun, Daniela.	The Difficult Search for Results of Elections to the European Parliament: A New Data Set for the Elections 1979 to 2004.

• [Back to Front Page](#)

• [Back to LSS Page](#)



Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

• **Legislative News**

Links to recent articles on *Governing* magazine website:

["Via Springfield"](#) by Alan Ehrenhalt

Why "state senator" might mean as much as "U.S. senator" on a presidential candidate's résumé.

["Seizing the Initiative"](#) by Josh Goodman

Legislators can't make unwanted ballot measures go away. But they can put them through some extra hoops.

["Reformer in Power"](#) by Alan Greenblatt

People said Joe Hackney was too squeaky clean to run the North Carolina House. Then a scandal gave him his chance. He's made the most of it.

["Issues to Watch"](#) by Josh Goodman

Ten topics that will shape debate in state legislatures in 2009.

["Death and Life in the Pressroom"](#) by Rob Gurwitt

Newspapers have lost interest in covering legislatures. Can Web sites replace them?

APSA Legislative Studies Section Newsletter

Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

Papers Presented

[APSA](#) – Papers presented at the American Political Science Association annual meeting, August 28–31, 2008, Boston, Massachusetts

[NPSA](#) – Papers presented at the Northeastern Political Science Association annual meeting, November 13–15, 2008, Boston, Massachusetts

[SPSA](#) – Papers presented at the Southern Political Science Association annual meeting, January 8–10, 2009, New Orleans, Louisiana

American Political Science Association (APSA)

Author	Title of Paper
Anderson, Sarah; Harbridge, Laurel	What Changes in Incrementalism Tell Us About Congressional Decision-Making
Ardoyn, Phillip J.; Vogel, Ronald J.	What a Difference a Decade Makes: Understanding Congressional Polarization
Bailey, Delia; Sinclair, Betsy	Political Networks and the Impact of Term Limits
Baughman, John R.	Legislation as Insurance: A Reconsideration of Ambition Theory and the Realignment of 1854
Baumgartner, Jody c.; Morris, Jonathan S.	MyFaceTube Politics: Assessing the Impact of Social Networking Websites on the Political Attitudes and Knowledge of Young Adults during the Early 2008 Presidential Primary Season
Blackstone, Bethany	Are “Overrides” Overrated? The Effect of Legislation Passed in Response to Decisions of the U. S. Supreme Court
Boehmke, Frederick J.; Shipan, Charles R.	Oversight Capabilities in the States: Are Professionalized Legislatures Better at Getting What they Want?
Brooks, Deborah Jordan; Murov, Michael	Sponsorship and Accountability in Political Advertising
Burden, Barry C.; Howell, William G.; Berry, Christopher	The Puzzling Geography of Federal Spending
Butler, Daniel M.	The Incumbency Advantage and Tenure in the U. S. House: A Regression Discontinuity Design Analysis
Buttice, Matthew; Stone, Walter J.	Candidate Quality and Campaign Tone

Author	Title of Paper
Calvo, Ernesto F.; Sagarzazu, Inaki	Legislative Success in Fragmented Congresses
Carroll, Royce A.; Kim, Henry A.	The Organizational Decline of Party Government in the U. S. House, 1975-2006
Carson, Jamie L.	Candidates, Campaigns, and Competition in U. S. House Elections
Cassese, Erin	Gender and Political Discussion Networks
Chang, Chuan-Hsien	Politics of Defection: Reviewing the Influence of Electoral Systems Over Party Switching
Chen, Jowei	The Law of Credit Sharing: Electoral Geography's Effect on Pork Barreling in Legislatures
Chiou, Fang-Yi; Imai, Kosuke	Nonparametric and Semiparametric Identification Problems in the Statistical Analysis of Roll Call Data
Clark, Allison	The Political Time of Presidential Persuasion
Clark, Jennifer Hayes	Stacking the Deck? Reconsidering the Impact of Institutions and Preferences on Legislative Party Polarization
Clayton, Cornell W.; Zschirnt, Simon	The Unitary Executive Meets Judicial Supremacy: Applying Models of Supreme Court Decision-Making to Separation of Powers Cases
Cohen, Diana Tracy	Tapping the Liberal Blogosphere: Ned Lamont's 2006 U. S. Senate Campaign
Crespin, Michael; Finocchiaro, Charles J.	Mmm, Unexplained Bacon: The Politics of earmarks in Conference Committees
DeGregorio, Christine	Trespassing on Opponents' Ground: Senators Debate the War in Iraq
Dodd, Lawrence C.; Schraufnagel, Scot	Congress and the Polarity Paradox: Explaining Landmark Legislation Across Time
Dougherty, Keith L.; Moeller, Justin	Constitutional Change and American Pivotal Politics
Dow, Jay K.; Endersby, James W.	Party Dispersion in Majoritarian and Proportional Electoral Systems
Duffy, Robert J.; Davis, Charles e.	The Politics of "Mountaintop Mining" for Coal in Appalachia
Eguia, Jon X.	Endogenous Parties in an Assembly: The Formation of Two Polarized Voting Blocs
Ensley, Michael J.; Tofias, Michael; de Marchi, Scott	Partisan Influence in the U. S. Congress and Institutional Change
Eshbaugh-Soha, Matthew	Policy Priorities and Presidential Success in Congress
Esterling, Kevin M.; Lazer, David; Neblo, Michael	Deliberation and Participation: A Deliberative Field Experiment
Ezrow, Lawrence	Party Responsiveness to Men and Women in Western Europe: Do Parties Respond Equally to the Policy Preferences of Men and Women?
Fong, Pohan; Diermeir, Daniel	Endogenous Limits on Proposed Power
Fowler, Linda L.	Behind Closed Doors: Senate Oversight of National Security Policy, 1947-2006
Friedman, Sally	Party, Constituency and the Home Styles of Latino Representatives
Galvin, Daniel	Building a New Republican Majority: Cumulative Organizational Development in the GOP
Gezgor, Burcu	Public Opinion About Immigration: The Role of Elite Framing of the Issue
Goodman, David A.	The Use of Statutory Control by State Legislatures: One Step Closer to an Understanding of Legislative Control of Bureaucracies
Gray, Virginia H.; Lowery, David	Linked Policy Agendas: State Influences on Congressional Policy Agendas
Green, Matthew N.	Congressional Leadership and the Value of Loyalty

Author	Title of Paper
Grose, Christian R.; Van Houweling, Robert; Cullison, Courtney	Do Senators “Flip Flop” in More Heterogeneous Constituencies? A Field Experiment of Senate Position-taking in Response to Constituent Letters on Immigration
Gross, Justin H.	An Interaction-Based Alternative for Modeling Legislative Voting
Grossmann, Matt; Dominguez, Casey Byrne	Polarizers or Consensus Builders? Interest Group Coalitions in Electoral and Legislative Networks
Grynawski, Jeffrey D.	How Do Legislators Commit to Partisan Logrolls in Emerging Democracies?
Gupta, Devashree	Small Victories in the Fight Against Capital Punishment: How Incremental Progress Affects Social Movement Organization and Strategy
Hall, Richard L.	Advertising Issues and Lobbying Congress
Halpin, Darren R.	Is There a “Tartan” Policy Bandwagon? Mapping Organised Interests in Public Policy
Hamerly, Ivy Orr	Institutional Innovation and Parliamentary Fractionalization
Han, Soo-Hye; Jarvis, Sharon e.	Have the Media Talked Us Out of Voting? News Frames, Efficacy and Political Participation
Hansen, Jwendy L.; Rehbein, Kathleen	When Do Firms Engage in Political Action?
Harbridge, Laurel	What Explains Bipartisanship in the U. S. Congress?
Harris, Douglas B.	Agents, Actors, and Representatives: Models, Metaphors, and Expectations for Understanding Legislative Leadership
Hartog, Chris Den; Monroe, Nathan W.	Filibusters, UCA's, and the Majority Party's Bargaining Advantage Over Scheduling in the U. S. Senate
Herrmann, Michael; Shikano, Susumu; Henning, Christian H.C.A.	The Legislative Process and Its Consequence for Outcome-Oriented Voting
Hill, Seth J.; Low, James; Vavreck, Lynn; Zaller, John R.	The Duration of Advertising Effects in Presidential Campaigns
Hirano, Shigeo; Snyder, Jr., James M.	Primaries and Polarization in the U. S. Senate
Hirsch, Alexander Victor; Shotts, Kenneth W.	Policy R & D and Legislative Organization
Hogan, John W.; Murphy, Gary; Chari, Raj S.	‘Next door they have regulation, but not here...’: Assessing the Opinions of Actors in the Opaque World of Unregulated Lobbying
Hojnacki, Marie; Berry, Jeffrey M.; Leech, Beth L.	Participation and Conflict in Policy Debates
Holleque, Matthew	Selling Consistency: The Effects of Campaign Advertising on Consistent Voting
Holman, Craig B.	Lobbying Reform in the United States and European Union: Progress on Two Continents
Hug, Simon; Bütikofer	Strategic Behavior in the Swiss Parliament
Ilderton, Nathan	Party Polarization and the Legislative Productivity of Congress
Jasson, Chiara	Developing Discourse? Citizen Involvement, Elite Polarization and the European Public Sphere
Jenkins, Jeffery A.; Nokken, Timothy P.	Economic Decision Making and Democratic Responsiveness: The Policy Consequences of Lame-Duck Sessions in the Contemporary U. S. Congress
Johnson, Renée J.	Policy Punctuation or Business-As-Usual? Accounting Regulation and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Johnson, Timothy R.; Wahlbeck, Paul J.; Spriggs, II, James F.	The Separation of Powers and the Development of Precedent on the U. S. Supreme Court

Author	Title of Paper
Johnson, Tyler; Dunaway, Johanna	What's in a Name? Political Action Committees as Source Cues in Negative Advertising
Jordan, Grant; McCarthy, John D.; Bevan, Shaun; Greenan, Jamie	The Growth of the Interest Group Population in the U. S. and UK
Jun, Hae-won	Voting for Whom? Impact of Electoral Systems in the Korean National Assembly
Kahn, Melvin A.; Perez, Kathleen M.	The Game of Politics Simulation: Testing Whether it Enhances Knowledge of the Legislative-Executive Processes
Kaid, Lynda Lee; Yun, Hyun Jung; Fernandes, Juliana; Shen, Feng	Reconsidering the Effects Sponsorship on Political Advertising
Kalandrakis, Tasos; Duggan, John	Gridlock and Policy Dynamics in the U. S. Congress
Katz, Jonathan N.; Cox, Gary W.	Strategic Entry in the U. S. House Elections
Kelly, Nathan; Grant, J. Tobin	The Dance of Policymaking: Legislative and Executive Policy Production the U. S. Since 1789
König, Thomas	Imputing Legislators' Missing Positions: A Strategic View
Kousser, Thad; Gamm, Gerald	Big Cities, Big Bias? Explaining Discrimination Against Urban Bills in State Legislatures
Kreppel, Amie	Executive-Legislative Relations in Italy: From Decreti to Deleghe
Kriner, Douglas L.; Shen, Francis X.	Local Casualties, Congressional Rhetoric and Support for the War in Iraq
Lapinski, John; Bateman, David Alexander	Policy Making and Policy Substance: An Analysis of Sovereignty Related Issues in the United States, 1877-1994
Lawless, Jennifer L.; Fox, Richard L.	The Persistent Gender Gap in Political ambition
Lebo, Matthew; Koger, Gregory; Young, Everett	Ideological Versus Partisan Cues in Congressional Elections: An Experiment
Lewis, Jeffrey B.; Groseclose, Timothy J.	Better Methods and More Data for Inferring Legislators' Policy Preferences from Interest Group Ratings
MacDonald, Jason A.	Committee Appointments and Policy Expertise in the U. S. House, 1947-1994
MacDonald, Jason A.; O'Brien, Erin E.	Investigating Gender Effects through Quasi-Experiments: Representational Behavior in the U. S. House, 1973-2002
Madonna, Anthony; Lynch, Michael S.	The Theoretical Foundations of Congressional Workload, 1866-2006
Makse, Todd	The Personal Vote, Member Career Opportunities and Partisan Strategy in State Legislative Redistricting
Martorano, Nancy	Theory versus Reality: Exploring the Autonomy of Committee Systems in the State Legislative Process
Matthews, Emily Olivia	The Impact of Political Institutions on Lobbying Behavior: A Comparison of Forestry Interest Groups in the United States and France
McKay, Amy Melissa	The Role of Resources in the Choice of Lobbying Venue
McKie, Kristin A.	The Politics of Adopting and Contravening Term Limits in Sub-Saharan Africa
Merry, Melissa K.	Characterizing Climate Change: Do Environmental Groups "Dumb Down" Complex Issues for Public Consumption?
Mills, Russell W.	The Affect of Legislative Professionalism on Agenda Setting at the State Level
Monroe, Burt L.; Kirtcheva, Elena	He Said, She Said: Feminine Pronouns and the Parliamentary Representation of Female Points of View
Monroe, Burt L.; Quinn, Kevin M.; Colaresi, Michael Penn	Estimating Legislator Positions from Speech

Author	Title of Paper
Moser, Robert G.; Holmsten, Stephanie S.	Do Ethnic Parties Discriminate Against Women?
Mozaffar, Shaheen; Barkas, Joel D.; Mattes, Robert Britt; Smiddy, Kimberly Arlene	Understanding Legislatures in Africa's Emerging Democracies: A Preliminary Report from the African Legislatures Project
Mulroy, Quinn W.; Katznelson, Ira	Pivots in the Party: Assessing Partisanship and Policy content in Historical Time
Nir, Lilach	Competition and Opposition in Social Networks: Does Disagreement Discourage Voter Turnout?
Nokken, Timothy P.	A Spatial Analysis of Floor Motions in Regular and Lame Duck Sessions of the House of Representatives, 1879-2001
Nyhan, Brendan; Tofias, Michael	Passing the Bucks: The Member-to-Member Contribution Network in Congress
Ono, Yoshikuni	Coalition Management and the Distribution of Coalition Payoffs
Osborn, Tracy; Gerrity, Jessica C.; Mendez, Jeanette Morehouse	A Different View of the District: Women, Men and Representation in the State Legislatures
Park, Hong Min; Haptonstahl, Stephen R.	Why Does the Majority Party Bother to Have Minority Party Members in the Committee?
Patty, John W.; Gailmard, Sean; Penn, Elizabeth Maggie	A Theory of Representative Institutions
Pemstein, Daniel; Bernhard, William T.	Political Ambition and the Legislative Effort in the European Parliament
Phinney, Robin	Diverse Coalitions and Social Welfare Policy in the United States
Pickerrill, J. Mitchell; Whittington, Keith E.; Day, Jacob	Congressional Responses to the Supreme Court's Exercise of Judicial Review
Platt, Matthew B.	Race Men and the Evolving Nature of Black Representation
Pole, Antoinette	YouTube, iTube, WeAllTube: Video Campaigning and the 2008 Presidential Primaries
Powell, Eleanor Neff	Partisan Entrepreneurship and Career Advancement in the U. S. Congress
Powell, Jr., G. Bingham	Party System Change and Ideological Congruence
Primo, David M.; Jordan, Stuart V.	Do Presidential Public Appeals Affect Policy?
Ridout, Travis N.; Holland, Jenny L.	Candidate Strategies in the Presidential Nomination Campaign
Roberts, Andrew	The Effect of Electoral Rules on Women's Representation: Surprising Results
Roberts, Jason M.; Smith, Steven S.	The Dimensionality of Congressional Voting Reconsidered
Robinson, Robert Reif	Dynamics of Supreme Court decisions during Weak Party Government: Increased Autonomy and Decreased Impact
Rocca, Michael Stephen	Presidential Support in Congress Following 9/11
Rocha, Rene R.; Osborn, Tracy	Racialized Institutions, Electoral Constraint, and Party Strength: When and Where Does Descriptive Representation Matter?
Rohde, David W.; Stiglitz, Edward; Weingast, Barry R.	Parties, Committees, and Pivots: A Reassessment of the Literature on Congressional Organization
Sarbaugh-Thompson, Marjorie	Responsiveness of Term-Limited State Legislators to Public Opinion
Schatzinger, Henrik M.	PAC Contributions in Non-Election Years: Do Congressional Committee Events Matter?
Schickler, Eric; Pearson, Kathryn; Feinstein, Brian	Congressional Parties and Civil Rights Politics From 1920-1972: A Reassessment
Schlozman, Kay Lehman; Verba, Sidney; Brady, Henry e.; Jones, Philip Edwards; Burch, Traci	Who Sings in the Heavenly Chorus? Political Inequality and the Pressure System

Author	Title of Paper
Shankster, Alexandra	Gatekeeping Power in the U. S. House and Senate Committees
Shogan, Colleen J.	The Political Utility of Empathy in Presidential Leadership
Sin, Gisela	Timing of Institutional Changes in the House: A Constitutional Theory
Smidt, Corwin D.; Christenson, Dino	A Tale of Two Primaries: Campaign Coverage Dynamics in the 2008 Primary
Spirling, Arthur	Rebels With a Cause? Legislative Activity and the Personal Vote in Britain, 1997-2005
Stewart, III, Charles; Schiller, Wendy J.	The Effect of Popular Election on the Partisan Composition of the U. S. Senate
Stiglitz, Edward	Judicial Appointments and the Filibuster Paradox
Sulkin, Tracy; Hayes, Matthew James; Hibbing, Matthew	Redistricting, Responsiveness, and Issue Attention
Theriault, Sean M.	Procedurally Polarized in the U. S. Congress
Vaccari, Cristian	Only in America? Candidates' Websites in the 2008 U. S. Presidential Primaries
Valdini, Melody Ellis; Baldez, Lisa	Existence is Not Enough: A comparative Study of Gender Quota Implementation
VanSickle-Ward, Rachel; Goux, Darshan J.	Prophecy, Performance and Pronouncement: Media Coverage of the 2008 Primary Debates
Victor, Jennifer Nicoll	Affirmative Ambition: The Representational Consequences of Black Higher Office Seekers
Vissers, Sara	The Potential of Political Mobilization: An Experiment on Internet and Face-to-Face Mobilization
Wallsten, Kevin J.	Web 2.0 and the Mainstream Media: How Facebook, MySpace and YouTube Popularity Drive Media Coverage
Warwick, Paul V.	Bilateralism or the Median Mandate? An Examination of Rival Perspectives on Democratic Governance
Woon, Jonathan; Anderson, Sarah	The Timing of Congressional Appropriations
Xydias, Christina	(Gender) Quota Vadi? Electoral Institutions and concepts of Representation in Germany
Yun, Hyun Jung; Opheim, Cynthia	Proactive Communication in State Governments: Beyond Traditional Professionalism

Northeastern Political Science Association (NPSA)

Author	Title of Paper
Armato, Michael A.	What About the Moderates in Congress? A Look at Blue Dog Democrats and Republican Main Street Partnership Members in the U. S. House
Bugh, Gary	Exploring Congressional Interest in Presidential Election Reform
Cobetto, Joseph	Agriculture and Immigration Policies and Cross-Cutting Pressures: The Legislative/Consistence Story of HR 4437
Ferradino, Cecilia; Friedman, Sally	Bipartisanship in the House: A View from Web Site Press Releases
Kelly, Jason Paul	Political Dispersion and Legislative Success
Konda, Thomas Milan	Explaining the Increase in Seniority in the House of Representatives: 1880-2006
Nelson, Garrison; Harris, Douglas	Speakers of the House and Presidents: Rivals or Allies

Southern Political Science Association (SPSA)

Author	Title of Paper
Abbarno, Aaron J.; Dupay, Brent M.	Pork, Principles, and Personal Votes: Legislator Behavior and Voter Response under Conditions of Electoral Vulnerability
Aleman, Eduardo; Ponce, Aldo Fernando; Sagarzazu, Inaki	Comparing Co-sponsorship and Roll-Call Ideal Points: Evidence from the Peruvian Congress
Bader, Brandy L.	Reexamining Representation and Heterogeneity: A Research Validation and Extension
Baumann, Zachary	Variation in the Careers of State Legislators
Brady, Michael C.; Rohde, David	Moving Beyond Mavericks: Competing Conceptions of Individual Member Vote Misclassifications in the 75 th 50 110 th Congresses
Calvo, Ernesto F.; Sagarzazu	Legislative Success in Fragmented Congresses
Cann, Damon; Sidman, Andrew Heath	Political Parties and the Allocation of Federal Distributive Outlays
Cantañeda-Angarita, Nestor Camilo	Legislative Bargaining, Separation of Powers, and Fiscal Policy: An Approach to the Economic Reform in Latin America
Casey, Kimberly L.	The Continued Dependence upon Kinship Ties among National-level Female Candidates 1978-2008
Cicenia, Daniel A.	Surviving Legislative Deadlock: The 17 th Amendment and Institutional Change in the U. S. Senate
Cullison, Courtney; Grose, Christian R.; Malhotra, Neil; Van Houweling, Robert	The Strategy of Ambiguity: A Field Experiment of Congressional Position-taking
Curry, James M.	The Competing Roles of House Appropriators: Committee Norms, Partisanship, and the House Appropriations Committee
Doherty, Kathleen; Jenkins, Jeffery A.	Position Taking and Electoral Outcomes: National Health Care, the AMA, and the Congressional Elections of 1950
Dometrius, Nelson C.	Gubernatorial vs. Legislative Budget Influence: Elaborating the Linkages
Esterling, Kevin M.; Neblo, Michael A.; Lazer, David MJ	Deliberation and Participation: A Deliberative Field Experiment Involving Current Members of Congress
Flint, Nathan	Predicting the Tenor of Immigration Legislation in the U. S.: Is It Politics, the Economy, or the Event Environment?
Gaines, Brian J.; Nokken, Timothy P.	The Effects of Term Length on Electoral Behavior: A Natural Experiment
Gaunder, Alisa	Women Running for Office in Japan and the U. S.: Electoral Obstacles and Candidate Strategies
Gonzalez, Raul Cipriano	Coattails and Rubberstamps: Executive-Legislative Relations in Mexican State Government, 1999-2008
Gross, Matthew T.	Scandal, Party Government, and Retirements from the House of Representatives
Hall, Benjamin L.; Overby, L. Marvin	Party Hopping: Congressional Party Defection and Its Consequences
Heath, Roseanna Michelle	The Influence of Individual and Party Characteristics on the Marginalization of Minority Legislators in Latin America and Africa
Huder, Joshua Carstens	Legitimate Opposition in Congress: The Struggle for Political Power
Jacobsmeier, Matthew L.	Religious and Perceptions of Candidate Ideologies in the U. S. House Elections
Jacobsmeier, Matthew L.	The Effects of Race-Based Perceptions of Candidate Ideologies on Vote Choice in U. S. House Elections

Author	Title of Paper
Jenkins, Jeffery A.; Nokken, Timonty P.	Investigating the Policy Consequences of Lame-Duck Sessions in the Contemporary U. S. Congress
Jenkins, Jeffery A.; Stewart III, Charles	Speakership Elections since 1860: The Rise of the Organizational Caucus
Jochim, Ashley Elizabeth; Jones Bryan D.	The Dimensionality of Roll Call Votes Reconsidered
Johnson, Gbemende	The Nuclear Option Game: The treat of dramatic rule change to prevent legislative obstruction
Kassel, Jason Seth	Constructing a Professional Legislature: The Physical Development of Congress, 1783-1867
Kedrowski, Karen M.; Gower, Rachel	Gender and The Public Speakership: News Media Coverage of Speaker Nancy Pelosi
Koger, Gregory; Noel, Hans	The Contest for Majority Cloture in the Senate, 1949-1975: An Analysis of Senators' Preferences
Kunovich, Sheri Locklear; Wall Amanda	Representing Gender: Constructing a Feminine Identity in the House of Representatives
LaPira, Tim	Information Search Behavior and Lobbying Influence in Congress
Martin, Paul	Earmarks and Policy Responsiveness
Mellen, Jr., Robbin Brent	The Effect of Presidential Campaigning in the 2002 and 2006 Midterm Congressional Elections
Mikulska, Anna Beata; Scarrow, Susan E.	Selecting Legislative Candidates in a New Democracy: The Rules and Their Consequences
Miller, Susan M.	Career Opportunities and Procedural Complexity in State Legislatures
Mitchell, Nathan Keith	Is Early Money Is Like Yeast? Testing the Gender Gap in State Legislative Campaign Financing
Myers, William	If We Could All Be Leaders: U. S. House Leadership Selection and Safe Members
Nelson, James Paul	Expressive Strategic Voting in U. S. Congressional Elections
Noel, Hans; Masket, Seth	Using Referenda to Examine the Impact of Voters on Legislative Partisanship
Parent, Wayne	Party Organization and Legislative Diversity, Past and Present
Ragusa, Jordan Micahel	The Institutionalization of Cooperation: Bicameral Politics and Partisanship
Richman, Jesse Travis	Parties Versus Pivots: Who Determines Policy?
Roberts, Susan Lynn	Political Capital: A Bankrupt Congress?
Robinson, Gregory	Competing Agendas: Comparing Observed Roll Rates to a Hypothetical Baseline
Rouse, Stella M.	Latinos and Deliberative Process: Examining the Role of Ethnicity on Committee Participation
Sadow, Jeffrey D.	Term Limits and Their Effects on Legislators' Voting Behavior Relative to Their Districts
Sapp, Erica Lynn; Goldberg, Julie; Yann, Maureen; Adams, Samantha; Welsh, Josie	Women Leading Congress: Is the First Female Speaker Really All That Different?
Schraufnagel, Scot; Milita, Kerri	The Partisan Duopoly in U. S. House Elections
Simon, Agnes; Overby, L. Marvin	Veto Bargaining in the Non-partisan Settings of the Confederate Congress
Simon, Dennis M.; Palmer, Barbara	Beyond Hillary: Female Congressional Candidates in 2008
Spahiu, Arian	Do Regulations Matter? A Proposal to Assess the Effectiveness of Lobbying Regulations in State Legislatures

Author	Title of Paper
Stockley, Joshua P.	The 2008 Louisiana Senate Race: A Vulnerable Democrat in the Solid (Republican) South
Teigen, Jeremy	Congressional Candidate Background, Party, and Perceptions of Issue Competence: An Experimental Study with Television Ads
Trautman, Linda	The Impact of Racial Redistricting upon State Legislative Decision-making
Wemlinger, Elizabeth R.	Women in Legislature: Do they make a difference?
Yuvaci, Abdullah	Electoral Rules and Social Representation: Evidence from the Representation of Younger Generations

• [**Back to Front Page**](#)

• [**Back to LSS Page**](#)



Volume 32, Number 1, January 2009

● **Research & Teaching**

- [Brookings Report on Congress](#)
- [Charting the Congressional Experience: The Papers of Richard Gephardt](#)
- [Civil Rights Documentation Project](#)
- [Congress to Campus Program](#)
- [Congressional Bills Project](#)
- [Data on Legislative Voting and Representation](#)
- [Dirksen Center Invites Applications for Grants](#)
- [Election Results Archive](#)
- [European Consortium for Political Research](#)
- [French Political Science Association](#)
- [International Political Science Review](#)
- [Political Science Blog: Voir Dire](#)
- [SSRN Political Science Network](#)
- [State Politics and Policy Quarterly Archive](#)
- [The Thicket at State Legislatures](#)
- [Visiting Scholars Program, APSA Centennial Center](#)
- [Visiting Scholars Program, Carl Albert Center](#)

Brookings Institution Report: "Assessing the 110th Congress, Anticipating the 111th"

Sarah A. Binder, Thomas E. Mann, Norman J. Ornstein, and Molly Reynolds have extended their previous analysis to include the full, two-year 110th Congress. They conclude by looking ahead to the 111th Congress and what it will take to overcome the shortcomings of the 110th, deliver on President-elect Barack Obama's promises regarding policy and process, and restore the responsibilities and comparative advantages of the first branch of government. [Click here to read the full report.](#)

Charting the Congressional Experience: The Papers of Richard Gephardt

The inaugural Gephardt Fellow, Daniel E. Ponder, had the privilege of perusing the letters, records, press clippings, and other minutiae of Richard Gephardt's congressional career. The collection is housed at the Missouri Historical Society in St. Louis. [Click here to read Ponder's description of the Gephardt collection.](#)

Civil Rights Documentation Project

THE DIRKSEN CONGRESSIONAL CENTER

The landmark civil rights legislation of the mid-1960s has attracted considerable scholarly attention, deservedly so. Much of the analysis of this legislation has centered on the social and cultural conditions that gave birth to such laws as the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

As valuable as the emphasis on the civil rights movement has been, an equally vital chapter has been neglected – the story of the legislative process itself. The Dirksen Congressional Center has posted a new feature on "CongressLink" that provides a fuller accounting of law-making based on the unique archival resources housed at The Center, including the collection of then-Senate Minority Leader Everett McKinley Dirksen (R-IL), widely credited with securing the passage of the bills.

Intended to serve the needs of teachers and students, [The Civil Rights Documentation Project](#) demonstrates that Congress is capable of converting big ideas into powerful law, that citizen engagement is essential to that process, and that the public policies produced forty years ago continue to influence our lives.

The project takes the form of an interactive, Web-based presentation with links to digitized historical materials and other Internet-based resources about civil rights legislation created by museums, historical societies, and government agencies.

Please contact Cindy Koeppel by email at ckoeppel@dirksencenter.org if you have any ideas or comments about this new feature.

Congress to Campus Program

THE UNITED STATES ASSOCIATION OF FORMER MEMBERS OF CONGRESS

The [Congress to Campus Program](#) is designed to address several aspects of the civic learning and engagement deficit among the country's college-age young people, combining traditional educational content with a strong message about public service. The Program sends bipartisan pairs of former Members of Congress - one Democrat and one Republican - to visit college, university and community college campuses around the country. Over the course of each visit, the Members conduct classes, hold community forums, meet informally with students and faculty, visit high schools and civic organizations, and do interviews and talk show appearances with local press and media.

In the summer of 2002, the Board of Directors of the U. S. Association of Former Members of Congress (USAFMC) engaged the Center for Democracy & Citizenship (CDC) at the Council for Excellence in Government to help manage the Congress to Campus Program in partnership with the Stennis Center for Public Service (Stennis). CDC and Stennis, with the blessing of the USAFMC, agreed to undertake a number of initiatives to greatly increase the number of campuses hosting program visits each year, expand the pool of former Members of Congress available for campus visits, develop new sources of funding, raise the profile of the program and its message in the public and academic community, and devise methods of measuring the impact of the program at host institutions.

To access full report on Congress to Campus, [click here](#).

Congressional Bills Project

A new website at <http://www.congressionalbills.org> allows academic researchers, students, and the general public to download information about public and private bills introduced in the U.S. Congress along with information about those bills' sponsors.

Each record is a bill. The download tool allows you to select a large number of related variables to include in your download request. Obviously, limited requests will download more quickly.

- The bill's title and progress (from government resources)
- The bill's subject (using the topic codes of the [Policy Agendas Project](#))
- Member biographical, committee, and leadership positions (much of this comes from Elaine K. Swift, Robert G. Brookshire, David T. Canon, Evelyn C. Fink, John R. Hibbing, Brian D. Humes, Michael J. Malbin and Kenneth C. Martis, [Database of Congressional Historical Statistics](#); as well as more recent data available through Charles Stewart's website)
- Member DW-Nominate Scores (from Poole and Rosenthal of course)

The website is a work in progress by John D. Wilkerson and Scott Adler at University of Washington, Seattle.

Data on Legislative Voting and Representation

Professor John Carey has established a website at Dartmouth that includes various resources from his field research and data collection in an organized data archive. Of particular significance is the data from a project on legislative voting and representation. That project includes:

- Transcripts from interviews with 61 legislators and party leaders from 8 countries (Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Peru, and Venezuela) from 2000-2001). The interviews followed a stable protocol for the most part, regarding how decisions are reached within parties and carried out (or not) in the legislative environment, and how legislators interact
-

with party leaders, the executive, and the citizens they represent. The interviews frequently cover other topics as well, however, according to the subject's train of thought. The transcripts are available in both English and Spanish.

- Recorded vote data from 21 legislative chambers in 19 countries (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Ecuador, Israel, Mexico, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Russia, United States, Uruguay). In addition to the data and codebook, also available on the site are some files with STATA code to produce the measures of party voting unity employed in the research.

Visitors are invited to use any of the data, qualitative or quantitative, that is available on the site. The address of the website is <http://www.dartmouth.edu/~jcarey/dataarchive.html>. Professor Carey's email address, in case of questions, suggestions, or problems related to the data, is john.carey@dartmouth.edu.

Dirksen Center Congressional Research Grants

[The Dirksen Congressional Center](#) invites applications for grants to fund research on congressional leadership and the U.S. Congress.

The competition is open to individuals with a serious interest in studying Congress. Political scientists, historians, biographers, scholars of public administration or American studies, and journalists are among those eligible. The Center encourages graduate students who have successfully defended their dissertation prospectus to apply and awards a significant portion of the funds for dissertation research.

The awards program does not fund undergraduate or pre-Ph.D. study. Organizations are not eligible. Research teams of two or more individuals are eligible.

There is no standard application form. Applicants are responsible for showing the relationship between their work and the awards program guidelines. Applications are accepted at any time. Incomplete applications will NOT be forwarded to the screening committee for consideration.

All application materials must be received no later than February 1. Awards are announced in March. Complete information about eligibility and application procedures may be found at The Center's Web site: http://www.dirksencenter.org/print_grants_CRAs.htm.

The Center, named for the late Senate Minority Leader Everett M. Dirksen, is a private, nonpartisan, nonprofit research and educational organization devoted to the study of Congress and its leaders.

For more information about the Congressional Research Awards, contact Frank Mackaman by email at fmackaman@dirksencenter.org or phone 309.347.7113

Election Results Archive

CENTER ON DEMOCRATIC PERFORMANCE AT BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY

The Center on Democratic Performance at Binghamton University is pleased to announce the launch of the Election Results Archive (ERA), a collection of electronic files containing data on election results from around the world. This unique online database with global coverage provides researchers, policy-makers, scholars, and others interested in elections with information on over 900 elections from around the world. It includes information on the following:

- Types of Elections: Results for presidential and national legislative elections.
- Countries: The Archive currently contains election results from 134 countries that have met a minimum threshold of democratic performance for the year in which the elections took place.

- Dates of Elections: The ERA contains results back to 1974. This date was selected because it is frequently cited as a beginning point of the recent phase of democratic expansion (democratic elections in Greece and Portugal).

More election data will be added to this Archive as time and resources permit. The archive can be searched by country, region, or year and type of election. Please visit the archive at <http://cdp.binghamton.edu/era/index.html>.

European Consortium for Political Research

ECPR has a new standing group on Parliaments, coordinated by Shane Martin, University of California, San Diego) and Matti Wiberg (University of Turku).

For a number of years the study of legislatures has concentrated on the US Congress. Parliaments in Europe have not been a subject of investigation to any comparable extent. Nevertheless, the body of knowledge is ever expanding on both the long-standing parliaments in Europe and the new institutions of the European Union and Central and Eastern Europe.

The Standing Group's aim is to promote comparative research and theory-building on the institutionalisation, capacity, operation, and performance of legislatures and the dissemination of such research.

For more information, and to register for membership (which is free) please see the web site at: <http://www.essex.ac.uk/ecpr/standinggroups/parliaments/index.htm>.

French Political Science Association

The French Political Science Association (AFSP) has created a new research group on legislative studies (GRPP). Please visit: <http://www.afsp.msh-paris.fr/activite/groupe/grpp/grpp.html/>

A conference on Assemblies within Parliaments will be organised on 7-9 September 2009, Grenoble. For the biennial congress of the AFSP, there will be 3 panels: plenary debates, committees, and parliamentary party groups.

An international conference on "The electoral link at the European Parliament" is planned for 19-20 November 2009, Strasbourg. The call for papers will be available soon. Participants are expected to understand French (even approximately), but presentations in English are welcome.

For additional information, contact:

Olivier Rozenberg

CEVIPOF, Centre de recherches politiques de Sciences Po

98, rue de l'Université - 75007 Paris FRANCE

Telephone: 01 45 49 77 30

Fax: 01 42 22 07 64

Email: olivier.rozenberg@sciences-po.fr

Website: http://www.cevipof.msh-paris.fr/chercheurs/chercheurs_fiches/rozenberg.html

International Political Science Review

International Political Science Review, the official journal of the International Political Science Association edited by [Kay Lawson](#) and [Yvonne Galligan](#), would be pleased to receive quality submissions likely to be of interest to its international readership from the members of Legislative Studies Section of the American Political Science Association.

The IPSR is committed to publishing material that makes a significant contribution to international political science. It seeks to meet the needs of political scientists throughout the world who are interested in studying political phenomena in the contemporary context of increasing international interdependence and global change.

IPSR reflects the aims and intellectual tradition of its parent body, the International Political Science Association: to foster the creation and dissemination of rigorous political inquiry free of subdisciplinary or other orthodoxy. We welcome work by scholars who are focusing on currently controversial themes, shaping innovative concepts of methodologies of political analysis, and striving to reach outside the scope of a single culture.

Authors interested in submitting their work should consult either a recent copy of the journal or <http://ipsr.sagepub.com> and follow submission guidelines, sending electronic copies to both klawson@sfsu.edu and y.galligan@Queens-Belfast.AC.UK.

Preliminary queries are welcome.

Political Science Blog: Voir Dire

University of George faculty members Jeff Yates and Andy Whitford have established a new blog that focuses on law, courts, politics, and policy. They also address topics concerning academia generally and have very occasional discussion of pop culture and other topics of lighter fare. The blog address is <http://lawandcourts.wordpress.com/>.

SSRN Political Science Network

The new Political Science Network (PSN) provides a world-wide, online community for research in all areas of political science, following the model of the other subject matter networks within the Social Science Research Network. PSN provides scholars with access to current work in their field and facilitates research and scholarship. PSN is directed by Professors David A. Lake and Mathew D. McCubbins (UC – San Diego). The website address is <http://www.ssrn.com/psn/index.html>.

State Politics and Policy Quarterly Archive

Every article in every issue of SPPQ is now on-line in pdf format, accessible free of charge to SPPQ subscribers and those whose university libraries subscribe. Furthermore, non-subscribers may purchase a time-limited “research pass” for a reasonable price.

To access this archive, go to: <http://sppq.press.uiuc.edu/sppqindex.html> and follow the links on the tables of contents to the articles. When you find an article you wish to view, click on the “view pdf” button at the bottom of its page. If your library subscribes to SPPQ, you will be sent straight to the article in pdf format. If your library does not subscribe (or if you are connecting from off campus), do one of the following:

1. If you are an individual SPPQ subscriber, set up a personal access account. Simply register with SPPQ by using your personal subscription ID number, as shown on your journal mailing label (note: save your mailing envelope to get your subscriber number). Alternatively, you can contact the SPPQ access helpdesk at sppq@merlyn.press.uiuc.edu and request your subscriber number.

2. If you are an institutional SPPQ subscriber, you should have already received access to full on-line content automatically. Your on-campus computers can access the archive automatically through the use of institutional IP numbers and, therefore, your students and faculty do not need to login personally. If your institution subscribes to the paper journal but you find that you cannot access the full-text on-line version from your campus, please ask your librarian to fill out the Online IP Registration Form at http://sppq.press.uiuc.edu/ip_submit.html, which will add their institutional IP numbers to the SPPQ control system.

If you have any questions or difficulties accessing the *State Politics and Policy Quarterly* Archive, please contact the University of Illinois Press SPPQ help desk at: sppq@merlyn.press.uiuc.edu.

The Thicket at NCSL

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE LEGISLATURES

The National Conference of State Legislatures has established a new blog, [The Thicket at State Legislatures](#), about the legislative institution and federalism. By and for legislative junkies, the blog includes these categories: American Democracy, Budgets, Congress, Courts and Legislatures, Elections, Ethics, Executives and Legislatures, Federalism, Initiative and Referendum, Leadership, Legislation, Legislative Culture, Legislative Staff, Legislators, Media, NCSL, Redistricting, and Term Limits.

Visiting Scholars Program

APSA CENTENNIAL CENTER

The Centennial Center for Political Science and Public Affairs can be an invaluable resource to political and social scientists. The Center has space for hosting 10 scholars for extended periods of time, ranging from weeks to months. Space for shorter "drop-in" stays is also available. Scholars are expected to pursue their own research and teaching projects and contribute to the intellectual life of the residential community by sharing their work with Center colleagues in occasional informal seminars.

Located within the Association's headquarters building near Dupont Circle, with easy access to the Washington Metro system, the Center offers visiting scholars furnished work space, telephone, fax, personal computers, Internet connection, conference space, a reference library, and library access at the George Washington University. Scholars are responsible for securing their own housing, but the Center will make every effort to assist scholars in locating suitable accommodations.

Eligibility is limited to APSA members. Senior or junior faculty members, post-doctoral fellows, and advanced graduate students are strongly encouraged to apply.

The Center also has a limited number of funding opportunities to support scholars working at the Centennial Center or other research locations.

Full details on the Center and the Visiting Scholars Program, including an application form, can be found online at www.apsanet.org/centennialcenter. Scholars may also call 202-483-2512 or email to center@apsanet.org.

Visiting Scholars Program

CARL ALBERT CENTER

The Carl Albert Congressional Research and Studies Center at the University of Oklahoma seeks applicants for its Visiting Scholars Program, which provides financial assistance to researchers working at the Center's archives. Awards of \$500 - \$1000 are normally granted as reimbursement for travel and lodging.

The Center's holdings include the papers of many former members of Congress, such as Robert S. Kerr, Fred Harris, and Speaker Carl Albert of Oklahoma; Helen Gahagan Douglas and Jeffery Cohelan of California; and Neil Gallagher of New Jersey. Besides the history of Congress, congressional leadership, national and Oklahoma politics, and election campaigns, the collections also document government policy affecting agriculture, Native Americans, energy, foreign affairs, the environment, the economy, and other areas.

Topics that can be studied include the Great Depression, flood control, soil conservation, and tribal affairs. At least one collection provides insight on women in American politics. Most materials date from the 1920s to the 1970s, although there is one nineteenth century collection.

The Center's archives are described on their website at <http://www.ou.edu/special/albertctr/archives/> and in the publication titled *A Guide to the Carl Albert Center Congressional Archives* by Judy Day et.al. (Norman, Okla.: The Carl Albert Center, 1995), available at many U.S. academic libraries. Additional information can be obtained from the Center.

The Visiting Scholars Program is open to any applicant. Emphasis is given to those pursuing postdoctoral research in history, political science, and other fields. Graduate students involved in research for publication, thesis, or dissertation are encouraged to apply. Interested undergraduates and lay researchers are also invited to apply. The Center evaluates each research proposal based upon its merits, and funding for a variety of topics is expected.

No standardized form is needed for application. Instead, a series of documents should be sent to the Center, including:

- (1) a description of the research proposal in fewer than 1000 words;
- (2) a personal vita;
- (3) an explanation of how the Center's resources will assist the researcher;
- (4) a budget proposal; and
- (5) a letter of reference from an established scholar in the discipline attesting to the significance of the research.

Applications are accepted at any time.

For more information, please contact: Archivist, Carl Albert Center, 630 Parrington Oval, Room 101, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019. Telephone: (405) 325-5835. FAX: (405) 325-6419. Email: cacarchives@ou.edu

• **Back to Front Page**

• **Back to LSS Page**

Extension of Remarks



Legislative Studies Section

American Political Science Association

January 2009

Editor's Introduction:

**Congressional Transitions: The last Acts of the 110th Congress,
The '08 Elections, and a Look Ahead to the 111th**

Valerie Heitshusen, Congressional Research Service

Congress and the Bailout: Explaining The Bailout Votes and Their Electoral Effect

Matthew Green and Kristen Hudak, The Catholic University of America

Forecasting Congressional Elections

Carl E. Klarner, Indiana State University

**Contemporary Lame-Duck Sessions of Congress:
An Overview and Assessment with Special Emphasis on the 110th Congress**

*Jeffery A. Jenkins, University of Virginia
and*

Timothy P. Nokken, Texas Tech University

Party Polarization in the 111th Congress

Sean M. Theriault, The University of Texas at Austin

• **Back to Front Page**

• **Back to LSS Page**